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1 Introduction

1.1 The appendix to the Allocations DPD: Discussion and Consultation Document assessed a number of sites identified through the ‘Call for Sites’ process for potential reallocation for residential uses, employment uses and Gypsy and Traveller accommodation.

1.2 A total of 225 sites have been put forward for consideration (including duplicate or overlapping sites) through the ‘Call for Sites’ process and through consultation on the Allocations DPD: Discussion and Consultation Document (March/April 2010). However, not all of these are appropriate for reallocation in accordance with the balanced strategy agreed within the adopted Rochford District Core Strategy (December 2011).

1.3 A screening of the sites has been undertaken to identify those sites which have the potential to conform to the balanced strategy for the future development of the District.

1.4 The Rochford District Core Strategy does not preclude sites from consideration through the Allocations DPD process but identifies appropriate and sustainable general locations for housing and employment development. This report, however, does not identify appropriate sites but filters out those which are not considered to be broadly commensurate with the general locations identified in the Rochford District Core Strategy.

2 Methodology

2.1 Each of the sites identified have been assessed according to the proposed use: residential/mixed use, employment use or Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation. Where a different use has been proposed it has been concluded whether it is appropriate to reallocate land for the proposed use or not.

2.2 Each site, including duplicate sites, has been assessed in turn according to the following decision-aiding questions.

Residential/Mixed Use

2.3 The following three decision-aiding questions were primarily used to screen the sites put forward through the ‘Call for Sites’ process for use as residential/mixed use:

- Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?
- Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?
- Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?
2.4 If the answer to all of the questions was ‘no’ then the site was screened out. If, however, the answer was ‘yes’ then the following questions were considered:

- Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?
- Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?

If the answer to these questions was ‘yes’ then the site was screened in.

- Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?

If the answer to this question was ‘yes’ then the site was screened out.

**Employment Use**

2.5 The following decision-aiding questions were used to screen the sites put forward through the ‘Call for Sites’ process for use as employment:

- Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?
- Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?

If the answer to either of these questions was ‘yes’ then the sites were screened in.

**Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation**

2.6 The following three decision-aiding questions were primarily used to screen the sites put forward through the ‘Call for Sites’ process for use as gypsy and traveller accommodation:

- Is the site within an existing residential area/location identified in Policy H1?
- Is the site commensurate with the Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H7?
- Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of pitches specified for the relevant general location?

If the answer to the first or second question and the third question was ‘yes’ then the site was screened in. The following question was then considered:

- Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?

If the answer to this question was ‘yes’ then the sites were screened out.
3 Proformas

3.1 Each site has been assessed according to the decision-aiding questions as set out below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 1</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> 340-370 Eastwood Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Rayleigh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Use:</strong> Residential/mixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land opposite Barling Magna primary school</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Barling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Use:</strong> Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 3

**Site Name:** Wallasea Marina/Intertidal Defence/Wetland Habitat  
**Site Location:** Wallasea Island  
**Proposed Use:** Employment (Marina, Sea Defence and Inter Tidal Wetland Habitat)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** The area of Baltic Wharf which is identified as an existing employment site within Policy ED3 of the Core Strategy has been screened in, however, Wallasea Island and its associated wetland habitat has not been screened in for employment use.

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 4

**Site Name:** Land at Three Acres and Birch Lodge  
**Site Location:** Anchor Lane, Canewdon  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies? | Yes | No
---|---|---

**Conclusion:** Screened in

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land north of Daws Heath Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Daws Heath Road, Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land at Bull Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 7

**Site Name:** Land south of High Street  
**Site Location:** Great Wakering  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 8

**Site Name:** Land north of Folly Lane  
**Site Location:** Hockley  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Making a Difference
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? | ☑ | ✗ |
---|---|---|
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location? | ✗ | ☑ |
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy? | ☑ | ✗ |
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies? | ☑ | ✗ |

**Conclusion:** Screened in

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 9

**Site Name:** Land south of Arundel Road between Lyndhurst Road and Hillsborough Road

**Site Location:** Ashingdon

**Proposed use:** Residential

**Decision-aiding questions:**

- Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1? | ☑ | ✗ |
- Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2? | ✗ | ☑ |
- Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? | ✗ | ☑ |

**Conclusion:** Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 10

**Site Name:** 35-39 Crouch Avenue

**Site Location:** Hullbridge

**Proposed use:** Residential
### Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

#### Decision-aiding questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Residential use of the site conforms to Policy H1 as it is in an existing residential area. However, as it is within a residential area, no change of allocation of land is required to support residential development of the site. As such, and as the site is not considered for alternative allocation, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

---

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 11

**Site Name:** Land adjoining Poynitens off Spring Gardens  
**Site Location:** Rayleigh  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 12

**Site Name:** Land to the north of Magnolia Road  
**Site Location:** Ashingdon  
**Proposed use:** Residential
## Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 13

**Site Name:** Land off Thorpe Road  
**Site Location:** Hawkwell  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name: Creek View</th>
<th>Site Location: Beckney Avenue, Hockley</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name: Land west of Hullbridge</th>
<th>Site Location: Hullbridge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies? [X]

**Conclusion:** The section of the site below Riverside Gardens is considered to be commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy and as such has been screened in. The rest of the site which extends northwards towards the River Crouch has been screened out.

**Call for Sites’ Reference:** 16

**Site Name:** 287 Daws Heath Road Rayleigh

**Site Location:** South Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Residential

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

**Call for Sites’ Reference:** 17

**Site Name:** Land to the south east of Hullbridge Road and Lower Road

**Site Location:** Hullbridge

**Proposed use:** Residential

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? | ☑   | ☐  |
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? | ☒ | ☐
---|---
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location? | ☒ | ☐
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy? | ☐ | ☒
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies? | ☐ | ☒

**Conclusion:** Screened in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 18</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land at Tower Farm, Lower Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Hullbridge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 19</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> The Lords Golf Course and neighbouring land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Hullbridge Road, Hullbridge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 20

**Site Name:** Rawreth Garage Site and associated properties  
**Site Location:** Chelmsford Road, Rawreth  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 21

**Site Name:** Land north of Wren Close  
**Site Location:** Rayleigh  
**Proposed use:** Residential
### Decision-aidering questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 22

**Site Name:** Land off Poyntens – Part of Great Wheatley Farm  
**Site Location:** Rayleigh  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 23

**Site Name:** Land at the Pear Tree  
**Site Location:** New Park Road, Hockley  
**Proposed use:** Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing residential area/location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with the Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H7?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of pitches specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 24

**Site Name:** Land at Greensward Lane  
**Site Location:** Hockley  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐️</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 25

**Site Name:** Land at Greensward Lane (at the junction of Trinity Wood Road)  
**Site Location:** Hockley  
**Proposed use:** Residential
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name:</th>
<th>Land to the north of Bull Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location:</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use:</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name:</th>
<th>Land at Tithe Barn Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location:</td>
<td>Poynters Lane, Great Wakering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use:</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 28

**Site Name:** Land at the Yard

**Site Location:** Trenders Avenue, Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 29

**Site Name:** Land at Great Wheatley adjoining Western Road

**Site Location:** Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Residential
## Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 30

**Site Name:** Land adjoining Marylands Avenue, Merryfields Avenue, Brackendale Close and Plumberow Avenue  
**Site Location:** Hockley  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 31

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land north of Bull Lane and East of Albert Road/Blower Close</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-aiding questions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 32

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>La Vallee Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Wadham Park Road, Lower Road, Hockley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-aiding questions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Peggle Meadow rear of 193 Southend Road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rochford</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Proposed use: Residential

Decision-aiding questions:

- Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1? [ ] Yes [X] No
- Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2? [ ] Yes [X] No
- Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? [ ] Yes [X] No

Conclusion: Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 34

Site Name: Land at Wellington Road

Site Location: Rayleigh

Proposed use: Residential

Decision-aiding questions:

- Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1? [ ] Yes [X] No
- Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2? [ ] Yes [X] No
- Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? [ ] Yes [X] No

Conclusion: Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 35

Site Name: Land north of Rawreth Lane

Site Location: Rayleigh

Proposed use: Residential
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 36

**Site Name:** Land off Hambro Hill/Hambro Close
**Site Location:** Rayleigh
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 37

**Site Name:** Land adjacent to Avoca Lodges south of the Chase
**Site Location:** Ashingdon
**Proposed use:** Residential
### Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 38

**Site Name:** Land at Westview

**Site Location:** Church Road, Hockley

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 39

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land off Rectory Avenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-aiding questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion</strong>: Screened out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 40

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land adjacent to Southend Airport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Land adjacent to Southend Airport, encompassing the Airport and stretching into Southend Borough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-aiding questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion</strong>: The exact boundary of land to the north of London Southend Airport to be allocated for employment use will be determined through the Joint Area Action Plan being prepared by Southend Borough Council and Rochford District Council. As such the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 41

| Site Name: Land south of Ironwell Lane |  |
| Site Location: Hawkwell |  |
| Proposed use: Residential |  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 42

| Site Name: Land to the south of Stambridge Road |  |
| Site Location: Rochford |  |
| Proposed use: Residential |  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? □ ☒

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 43

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land to the rear of Southview Close</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-aiding questions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>□ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>□ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>□ ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 44

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Frontage to Disraeli Road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-aiding questions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>□ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>□ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>□ ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 45

**Site Name:** Flemings Farm  
**Site Location:** Flemings Farm Road, Eastwood/Rayleigh  
**Proposed use:** Mixed (Area A – not opposed to use as Cherry Orchard country park extension; Area B – Caravan Site (extension of existing); Area C – Mature Woodland – for consideration in expansion of country park; Area D – housing (hatched area) and extension of country park: recreational/tourism and residential uses as appropriate)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 46

**Site Name:** Dahlia Lodge/The Ramblers  
**Site Location:** Eastwood Rise, Rayleigh  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 47</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Greenacres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Victor Gardens, Hockley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 48</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land at Church Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Rawreth/ Wickford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Michelin Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Arterial Road, Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Employment (Industrial, commercial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Rectory Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Ashingdon Road, Fambridge Road, Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential/mixed (recreational and commercial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 51</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> 591 Ashingdon Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Proposed use: Residential

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 52

**Site Name:** Land at 57 High Road  
**Site Location:** Hockley

### Proposed use: Residential

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 53

**Site Name:** Crouch View  
**Site Location:** Larkhill Road, Canewdon

### Proposed use: Residential
Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 54

| Site Name: Land at Pond Chase Nurseries |
| Site Location: Folly Lane, Hockley |
| Proposed use: Residential |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
### Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land east of Spencer Gardens and south of Brays Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 56

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land north and south of Brays Lane, land east of Ashingdon Road, and land north of Doggetts Close</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** The sites to the south of Brays Lane (b), east of Ashingdon Road (c) and the southern section of the site to the north of Brays Lane (a) identified within this ‘Call for Sites’ submission are commensurate with the general locations identified in Policies H2 and H3 and have been screened in. The northern section of the site to the north of Brays Lane and the site to the north of Doggetts Close would not be commensurate with any of the general locations and so these sites have been screened out.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference</th>
<th>57</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land east of Highcliff Crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 58

**Site Name:** Land on the South Side of Canewdon View Rd Ashingdon (east of St Elmo)

**Site Location:** Ashingdon

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 59

**Site Name:** Land on the south side of Canewdon View Road (west of ‘Ricasoli’)

**Site Location:** Ashingdon

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 60

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name: Land on the north side of Mount Bovers Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location: Hawkwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use: Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Although this site is broadly commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy, it is situated within a Special Landscape Area and, as such, has been screened out.

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 61

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name: Land between Mount Bovers Lane and Holyoak Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location: Hawkwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use: Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?  ❌  ☑
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?  ☑  ❌
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?  ❌  ☑
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?  ❌  ☑
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?  ❌  ☑

**Conclusion:** Although this site is broadly commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy, it is situated within a Special Landscape Area and, as such, has been screened out.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference:</th>
<th>62</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land on the north side of Canewdon View Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 63</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land on the north side of Waterside Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Paglesham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 64</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land at Temple Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Sutton Road, Sutton/Rochford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential/mixed/employment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### Site Screening Report

#### Site 1: Land at Watts Lane

- **Site Name:** Land at Watts Lane  
- **Site Location:** Rochford  
- **Proposed use:** Residential/mixed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

#### Site 2: Land at Malyons Farm and West Avenue

- **Site Name:** Land at Malyons Farm and West Avenue  
- **Site Location:** Hullbridge  
- **Proposed use:** Residential/mixed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies? ☒ ☐

**Conclusion:** Screened in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference:</th>
<th>67</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land at 36 Barling Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Barling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference:</th>
<th>68</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land at Shoebury Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Great Wakering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 69

**Site Name:** Land to the north and east of Folly Chase  
**Site Location:** Hockley  
**Proposed use:** Residential  
**Decision-aiding questions:**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 70

**Site Name:** Land east of Clements Hall Leisure Centre  
**Site Location:** Hawkwell  
**Proposed use:** Residential/mixed  
**Decision-aiding questions:**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? □ ☒

**Conclusion:** Screened out

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 71

**Site Name:** Land at Daws Heath Road

**Site Location:** Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Residential

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 72

**Site Name:** Land at Magees Nurseries

**Site Location:** Windsor Gardens, Hawkwell

**Proposed use:** Residential/mixed

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### Site Name: Land at Hambro Nursery

**Site Location:** Chelmsford Road, Rawreth

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### Site Name: Land at Shoebury Road/New Road

**Site Location:** Great Wakering

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference:</th>
<th>75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land at Sandhill Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Eastwood/Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong></td>
<td>Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference:</th>
<th>76</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land south of King George’s field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Ashingdon Road, Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong></td>
<td>Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 77

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land at Lubards Lodge Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Hullbridge Road, Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential/mixed (Community, employment)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 78

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land at Lubards Lodge Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Hullbridge Road, Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Employment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 79

Site Name: Land at Stroud Green
Site Location: Hall Road/Cherry Orchard Way, Rochford
Proposed use: Recreation/Leisure

Conclusion: It was proposed that this site could contribute to additional leisure and recreational uses within the vicinity of Cherry Orchard Jubilee Country Park. Such uses, provided they would not impact on the purpose of including land within the Green Belt, would not require the area identified to be reallocated, and as such, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 80

Site Name: Redundant buildings at East Hall
Site Location: East Hall Road, East End, Paglesham
Proposed use: Holiday lets/tourism

Conclusion: It was proposed that this site could be allocated for holiday lets/tourism. Such uses, provided they would not impact on the purpose of including land within the Green Belt or other policies within the Local Development Framework, would not require the area identified to be reallocated, and as such, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 81

Site Name: Land at Stambridge Road
Site Location: Great Stambridge
Proposed use: Residential with public open space

Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Hall Road, Rochford</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-aiding questions:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒ ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion:</td>
<td>This site is adjacent to land identified within one of the options in the Discussion and Consultation Document and has been screened in.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Although this site is broadly commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy, it is situated within a Special Landscape Area and, as such, has been screened out.

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 84

**Site Name:** 212, 213 and 214 Ellesmere Road  
**Site Location:** Ashingdon  
**Proposed use:** Residential  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 85

**Site Name:** Former brickworks site  
**Site Location:** Star Lane, Great Wakering  
**Proposed use:** Residential/mixed
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>❌</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 86

- **Site Name:** Land at Poyntens
- **Site Location:** Rayleigh
- **Proposed use:** Residential

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 87

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Various routes suggested for cycle paths (Sustrans)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>District-wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Recreation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** A Sustrans route running through the District has been identified within the Key Diagram of the Rochford District Core Strategy (adopted 13 December 2011). As such, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 88

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land east of 8 Preston Gardens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Residential use of the site conforms to Policy H1 as it is in an existing residential area. However, as it is within a residential area, no change of allocation of land is required to support residential development of the site. As such, and as the site is not considered for alternative allocation, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 89

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land at Trenders Avenue/Rawreth Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Residential use of the site conforms to Policy H1 as it is in an existing residential area. However, as it is within a residential area, no change of allocation of land is required to support residential development of the site. As such, and as the site is not considered for alternative allocation, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Site Location</th>
<th>Proposed use</th>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land near Greensward Lane</td>
<td>Hockley</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1? Yes No Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2? Yes No Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? Yes No Conclusion: Screened out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land east of Priory Chase</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
<td>Residential/mixed</td>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1? Yes No Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2? Yes No Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? Yes No Conclusion: Screened out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-aiding questions:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion: This site is within the existing residential area and has been developed. As such it has not been considered further.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 92
Site Name: Essexwire Works
Site Location: Lower Road, Hockley
Proposed use: Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion: Screened out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 93
Site Name: 206 London Road
Site Location: Rayleigh
Proposed use: Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?  ☒  ☐
Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?  ☒  ☐
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?  ☒  ☐
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?  ☐  ☒

**Conclusion:** The southern part of the site is within the existing residential area but most of the site is in the Green Belt and is commensurate with a general location identified within the Core Strategy. As such the northern part of the site in the Green Belt has been screened in.

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 94

**Site Name:** Junction of Napier Road and Albert Road

**Site Location:** Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Residential

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 99

**Site Name:** Land at Hambro Hill

**Site Location:** Rayleigh
### Proposed use: Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name:</th>
<th>Land at Greensward Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location:</td>
<td>Hockley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 101

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name:</th>
<th>Land at Plumberow Avenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location:</td>
<td>Hockley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
## Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Residential use of the site conforms to Policy H1 as it is in an existing residential area. However, as it is within a residential area, no change of allocation of land is required to support residential development of the site. As such, and as the site is not considered for alternative allocation, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 102

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land south east of Hockley station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Hockley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Residential use of the site conforms to Policy H1 as it is in an existing residential area. However, as it is within a residential area, no change of allocation of land is required to support residential development of the site. As such, and as the site is not considered for alternative allocation, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 103

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land at Newton Hall Gardens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Residential use of the site conforms to Policy H1 as it is in an existing residential area. However, as it is within a residential area, no change of allocation of land is required to support residential development of the site. As such, and as the site is not considered for alternative allocation, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.
### Proposed use: Residential

#### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 105

**Site Name:** Land at Arundel Road

**Site Location:** Ashingdon

**Proposed use:** Residential

#### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 106

**Site Name:** “Roma”

**Site Location:** Goldsmith Drive, Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Residential
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 107

**Site Name:** Land off Arundel Road

**Site Location:** Ashingdon

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 108

**Site Name:** Land at A127 and A130 junction

**Site Location:** Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Employment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**: Screened in

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference**: 109

| Site Name: Purdeys Industrial Estate extension to south-west boundary |
| Site Location: Rochford |
| Proposed use: Residential |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**: Screened out

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference**: 110

| Site Name: Wallasea Island |
| Site Location: Rochford |
| Proposed use: Employment |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**: Screened out
### ’Call for Sites’ Reference: 111

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land west of Shotgate farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rawreth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential/open space/employment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ’Call for Sites’ Reference: 112

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land at Cherry Orchard Brickworks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Cherry Orchard Way, Rochford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential (Retirement village)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** This site lies within the boundary of the Joint Area Action Plan being prepared by Southend Borough Council and Rochford District Council. As such the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 113

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Plots 22 and 39 North of Bull Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 114

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land off Aldermans Hill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Hockley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential/open space/woodland</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 115

Site Name: Land south east of Hullbridge
Site Location: Hullbridge
Proposed use: Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 116

Site Name: Land at Greensward Lane
Site Location: Hockley
Proposed use: Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion: Screened out
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 117

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Site Name</strong></th>
<th>Land at Victor Gardens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location</strong></td>
<td>Hawkwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use</strong></td>
<td>Residential/mixed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 118

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Site Name</strong></th>
<th>Land at St Clements Hall Nursery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location</strong></td>
<td>Victor Gardens, Hawkwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 119</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land off Victor Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Hawkwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 120</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land at Lark Hill Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Canewdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 121</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land rear of 144 Greensward Lane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Making a Difference  65
**Site Location:** Hockley  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 122  
**Site Name:** Land at Briardene  
**Site Location:** Ethelbert Road, Ashingdon  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 123  
**Site Name:** Coombes Farm  
**Site Location:** Stambridge Road, Rochford  
**Proposed use:** Residential
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 124

**Site Name:** Land at Windermere Avenue

**Site Location:** Hullbridge

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land along Chelmsford Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Battlesbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land South West side of London Road, Rawreth - Plot 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Rawreth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Fairways Garden Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Hullbridge Road, Hullbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 128</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land north of Ironwell Lane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Rochford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies? ☒

**Conclusion:** This site is broadly commensurate with one of the general locations and has been screened in.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 129</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Limehouse Nursery Industrial Park and Wyevale Garden Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Eastwood Road, Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land at Hillsborough Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land to rear of 140 – 142 Rawreth Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 132</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Meala Failta and Ye Olde Shoulderstick</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Barling Road, Barling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Name: Land at Wakering Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Location: Wakering</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use: Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 134</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Name: Land to the rear of Gloster Lodge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Location: Stambridge Road, Rochford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use: Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 135

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Site Name</strong></th>
<th>Land at Bullwood Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location</strong></td>
<td>Hockley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong></td>
<td>Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 136

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Site Name</strong></th>
<th>Land at Hillsborough Road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location</strong></td>
<td>Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐ ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong></td>
<td>Screened out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Call for Sites' Reference</td>
<td>Site Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Land at Church Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion: Screened out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>'Call for Sites' Reference</th>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Site Location</th>
<th>Proposed use</th>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Bull Inn</td>
<td>99 Main Road, Hockley</td>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion: Screened out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 139</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land adjoining Lambourne Hall Road/Gardiners Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Canewdon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion: Screened out</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 140</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land at Rosemount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Anchor Lane, Canewdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion: Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 141</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Tyndol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Chelmsford Road, Rawreth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 142</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Heath Nurseries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Daws Heath Road, Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:
Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

*‘Call for Sites’ Reference:* 143

**Site Name:** Land at Great Wakering Road

**Site Location:** Great Wakering

**Proposed use:** Residential

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

*‘Call for Sites’ Reference:* 144

**Site Name:** Land at Rawreth Lane

**Site Location:** Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Residential/mixed

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?** □ □

**Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?** □ □

**Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?** □ □

**Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?** □ □

**Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?** □ □

**Conclusion:** The southern site is commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy and has been screened in, however, the site to the north of Rawreth Lane would not be commensurate with any of the general locations and so has been screened out. Although part of the southern site is situated within flood zone 2 and 3 there is adequate developable land available to accommodate the housing and infrastructure requirements set out in the Core Strategy.

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 145

**Site Name:** Land at Lower Road

**Site Location:** Hockley

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 146</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Eastwood Nurseries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> North of the A127, Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 147</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land adjacent Ardleigh House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Hall Road, Rochford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Site Screening Report

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 148

**Site Name:** Land at Newton Hall Gardens  
**Site Location:** Ashingdon  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 149

**Site Name:** Land at Tithe Park  
**Site Location:** Poynters Lane, Great Wakering  
**Proposed use:** Residential/Employment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3? ☒

Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4? ☒

**Conclusion:** This site was originally submitted for residential development, but this has been amended more recently to employment development. Whilst the site does not relate well with the general location to the west of Great Wakering identified for residential development within the Core Strategy, it does relate reasonably well with the general location to the south of Great Wakering for employment use. This site has therefore been screened in for employment use.

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 150

**Site Name:** The Dell

**Site Location:** Madrid Avenue, Rawreth Lane, Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Residential/Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing residential area/location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with the Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H7?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of pitches specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
### 'Call for Sites' Reference: 151

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land adjacent to “The Old Rectory”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rectory Road, Hawkwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

### 'Call for Sites' Reference: 152

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land at Beckney Avenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Hockley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 153

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land adjoining 4 Clarks Cottages,</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rawreth Lane, Rawreth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 154

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land at Ulverston Road and Arundel Road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rochford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Rochford District Council – Allocations Development Plan Document:**

Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**“Call for Sites” Reference:** 155

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name: Clovelly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location: Chelmsford Road, Rawreth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use: Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**“Call for Sites” Reference:** 156

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name: Land at Disraeli Road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location: Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use: Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Making a Difference** 84
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 157

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land adjacent to Goose Lodge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Chelmsford Road, Battlesbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 158

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Ivanhoe Nursery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Ironwell Lane, Hawkwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 159

**Site Name:** Land to the north and south of Hall Road

**Site Location:** Rochford

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion</strong>: Both the site to the north of Hall Road (a) and to the south of Hall Road (b) have been screened in.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 160</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name</strong>: Highsteppers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location</strong>: Canewdon Road, Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use</strong>: Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions</strong>:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion</strong>: Screened out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 161</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name</strong>: Land at Canewdon Hall Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location</strong>: Canewdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use</strong>: Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**'Call for Sites' Reference:** 162

**Site Name:** Land between the Brambles and Bo Via

**Site Location:** Clements Hall Lane, Hawkwell

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**'Call for Sites' Reference:** 163

**Site Name:** Rushley Cottages

**Site Location:** The Common, Great Wakering

**Proposed use:** Residential
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 164

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name: Hawkwell Nursery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location: Rectory Road, Hawkwell</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Proposed use: Residential

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 165</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land to south of Canewdon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Anchor Lane, Canewdon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 166</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land off Ironwell Lane near Rectory Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Hawkwell</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision-aidding questions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 168

**Site Name:** Home Farm

**Site Location:** High Road, Hockley

**Proposed use:** Leisure (Equestrian centre)
### Decision-aidering questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name</strong>: Rawreth Village</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location</strong>: The site put forward is divided into two parts, one either side of the A1245, Rawreth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use</strong>: Residential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Call for Sites’ Reference: 169

- **Site Name**: Land at Malyons Farm
- **Site Location**: Malyons Lane, Hullbridge
- **Proposed use**: Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aidering questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 171

**Site Name:** Land at Old London Road

**Site Location:** Rawreth

**Proposed use:** Commercial (expansion of hotel)

**Conclusion:** It was proposed that this site could be allocated for commercial use to enable the expansion of the existing hotel complex. Such uses, provided they would not impact on the purpose of including land within the Green Belt or other policies within the Local Development Framework, would not require the area identified to be reallocated, and as such, the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 172

**Site Name:** South of Barling Road

**Site Location:** Barling

**Proposed use:** Residential
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 173

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land west of Rawreth Industrial Estate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 174</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land west of Hullbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Hullbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> The southern section of the site to the south of Riverside Gardens is commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy and has been screened in. The northern section of the site is not commensurate and as such has been screened out.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 175</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land west of Pudsey Hall Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Canewdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 176

**Site Name:** Land at Ashingdon Road (South of Oxford Road)

**Site Location:** Rochford

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference</th>
<th>177</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land west of Little Wakering Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Great Wakering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong></td>
<td>Screened in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference</th>
<th>178</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land to the east of London Southend Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Rochford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 179

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land to the north and east of Folly Chase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Hockley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 180

**Site Name:** Potash Garden Centre  
**Site Location:** Main Road, Hawkwell  
**Proposed use:** Residential  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Although this site is commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy, it is situated within a Special Landscape Area and, as such, has been screened out.

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 181

**Site Name:** Land to north west of Long Lane  
**Site Location:** Hullbridge  
**Proposed use:** Residential
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 182

- **Site Name:** The Dome Caravan Park
- **Site Location:** Lower Road, Hockley
- **Proposed use:** Residential (enlargement of mobile home park)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 183

- **Site Name:** Land adjacent to La Casita
- **Site Location:** Wood Avenue, Hockley
- **Proposed use:** Residential
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 184

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name: Maules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location: Church End, Paglesham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use: Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 185

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name: Land at junction of Long Lane and Lower Road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location: Hullbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use: Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 186

**Site Name:** Hambro Nursery and Clovelly Works

**Site Location:** Chelmsford Road, Battlesbridge

**Proposed use:** Residential/mixed

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 187

**Site Name:** Land adjacent to 280 Greensward Lane

**Site Location:** Hockley

**Proposed use:** Residential
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 188

**Site Name:** Adjacent to 283 Plumberow Avenue

**Site Location:** Hockley

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 189

**Site Name:** Boness

**Site Location:** Canewdon View Road, Ashingdon

**Proposed use:** Residential
### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 190

**Site Name:** Lavender Lodge  
**Site Location:** Canewdon View Road, Ashingdon  
**Proposed use:** Residential

### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 191

**Site Name:** Adjacent to Fairview  
**Site Location:** Lyndhurst Road, Ashingdon  
**Proposed use:** Residential
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 192

**Site Name:** Land adjacent to 283 Plumberow Avenue

**Site Location:** Hockley

**Proposed use:** Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing residential area/location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with the Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H7?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of pitches specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 193

**Site Name:** Lark Hill Road

**Site Location:** Canewdon

**Proposed use:** Residential
Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** The eastern section of the site is commensurate with a general location in the Core Strategy and has been screened in. The western section, however, is not commensurate with a general location and has been screened out.

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 194

**Site Name:** Land north of Hambro Hill

**Site Location:** Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Residential

Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 195

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land at Great Wheatley adjoining Western Road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 196

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land at 147 – 153 Goldsmith Drive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Site for travelling showpeople</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Although situated to the west of the District, which would be commensurate with Policy H7 of the Core Strategy, the East of England Regional Assembly’s single-issue review (Accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in the East of England) did not identify a need for sites to be provided for travelling showpeople within the District. As such the site is not considered further for assessment as part of the Allocations Development Plan Document.
### Site Screened Report (Evidence Base Document)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference:</th>
<th>197</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land to the north of Hall Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Rochford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference:</th>
<th>198</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land adjacent to Brayside and Little Brays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Brays Lane, Ashingdon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? ☒ ☐

Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location? ☒ ☐

Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy? ☐ ☒

Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies? ☐ ☒

**Conclusion:** Screened in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 199</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Stambridge Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Mill Lane, Stambridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference</th>
<th>200</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Former brickworks site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Star Lane, Great Wakering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference</th>
<th>201</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong></td>
<td>Land to the west of Alexandra Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong></td>
<td>Great Wakering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong></td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3? ☒ ☐

Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location? ☒ ☐

Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy? ☐ ☒

Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies? ☐ ☒

**Conclusion:** Screened in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 202</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land south of High Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Great Wakering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
### Land at the Yard

**Site Location:** Trenders Avenue, Rayleigh  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### Greenacres

**Site Location:** Victor Gardens, Hockley  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### Site Screening Report

#### Tyndol

- **Site Location**: Chelmsford Road, Rawreth
- **Proposed use**: Residential

#### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**: Screened out

---

#### Shilo

- **Site Location**: Winchester Drive, Rayleigh
- **Proposed use**: Residential

#### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**: Screened out
### Site Screening Report (Evidence Base Document)

#### Site 1

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 207

**Site Name:** 36 Connaught Road

**Site Location:** Rayleigh

**Proposed use:** Residential

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

#### Site 2

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 208

**Site Name:** Hullbridge (4 sites – south of Windermere Avenue, south of Malyons Lane, north of Lower Road, south of Pooles Lane)

**Site Location:** Hullbridge

**Proposed use:** Residential

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?  

Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?

**Conclusion:** The sites to the south of Windermere Avenue (a), south of Malyons Lane (b) and north of Lower Road (c) are commensurate with a general location in the Core Strategy and have been screened in. The site to the south of Pooles Lane (d) is not commensurate with a general location and as such has been screened out.

---

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 209

**Site Name:** Land between A130 and A1245  
**Site Location:** Rawreth  
**Proposed use:** Residential (Mobile homes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

---

‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 210

**Site Name:** Land opposite Lower Barn Farm  
**Site Location:** London Road, Rayleigh  
**Proposed use:** Residential
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 211

**Site Name:** 'Squirrel's Leap', adjacent to Two Hoot's Bungalow

**Site Location:** Bullwood Approach, Hockley

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 212

**Site Name:** 47 Victor Garde

ns

**Site Location:** Hawkwell

**Proposed use:** Residential
**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Part of the site is within the existing residential area but a large proportion of the site is within the Green Belt. It is not commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy and as such has been screened out.

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 213

**Site Name:** Land to the rear of Golden Cross Road, Nelson Road and Brays Lane

**Site Location:** Ashingdon

**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 214

**Site Name:** Rayleigh Garden Centre  
**Site Location:** Eastwood Road, Rayleigh  
**Proposed use:** Residential/Employment  
**Decision-aiding questions:**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 215

**Site Name:** Land to the west of Purdeys Industrial Estate  
**Site Location:** Rochford  
**Proposed use:** Employment  
**Decision-aiding questions:**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within an existing employment location identified in Policy ED3?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy ED4?</td>
<td></td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
### Site Screening Report

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 216

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land at Folly Chase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Hockley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒  ❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>❌  ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒  ❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>❌  ☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒  ❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☒  ❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong></td>
<td>Screened in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 217

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Land north of Ironwell Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Hawkwell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed use</td>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒  ❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>❌  ☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Conclusion: Screened in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 218</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land to the north of Watery Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Hullbridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Decision-aiding questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 219</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land at Lark Hill Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Canewdon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 220</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> 138-140 Lyndhurst Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Ashingdon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Decision-aiding questions:</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusion:</strong> Screened out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 221

**Site Name:** Land to the rear of 89 Rayleigh Avenue  
**Site Location:** Eastwood  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

### ‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 222

**Site Name:** Land to the north of Rochford Hall  
**Site Location:** Hall Road, Rochford  
**Proposed use:** Residential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision-aiding questions</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusion: Screened in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**‘Call for Sites’ Reference:** 223

**Site Name:** Land south of the junction of Anchor Lane and Gardeners Lane and to the north of Gardeners Lane

**Site Location:** Canewdon

**Proposed use:** Residential

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable (including in potential conjunction with other sites) of accommodating the quantum of dwellings specified for the relevant general location?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site potentially capable of incorporating infrastructure identified in Appendix H1 of Core Strategy?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there any issues with the site that indicate it will not be able to conform to other Core Strategy policies or any higher level policies?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** The site to the south of the junction of Anchor Lane and Gardeners Lane is commensurate with a general location identified in the Core Strategy and has been screened in. The site to the north of Gardeners Lane, however, is not commensurate with a general location and as such has been screened out.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 224</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land at the end of Etheldore Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Hockley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>‘Call for Sites’ Reference: 225</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Name:</strong> Land at Cheshunt Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Location:</strong> Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed use:</strong> Residential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Decision-aiding questions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the site within a location identified in Policy H1?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H2?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the site commensurate with a Core Strategy general location identified in Policy H3?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion:** Screened out
4 Conclusion

4.1 A number of sites have been identified as being broadly commensurate with the general locations within the Rochford District Core Strategy. These sites will now be considered in further detail in a separate report.