

PLANNING DECISIONS – October 2018

Application No : 16/00957/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 125 Southend Road Rochford
Proposal : Retrospective application to change use of premises from shop to Tattoo Parlour
Applicant : Mr Chay Ballard

Application No : 17/01232/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 3 The Gattens Rayleigh
Proposal : Change use of land to form addition to garden. Construct outbuilding.
Applicant : Mr Steven Aldridge

Application No : 18/00053/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Street Record North Street Rochford
Proposal : Replacement Windows, External Decorations, Roof Finish Replacement and Roofline Works to Properties 46, 50, 54 and 56 North Street Rochford
Applicant : Sanctuary Housing Association - Mr Steve Felton

Application No : 18/00224/FUL Decision : **Application Withdrawn**
Location : Riverside Kingsmans Farm Road Hullbridge
Proposal : Construct New Garage with Office Above and Extend 1st Floor Kitchen and Balcony to Rear and New Roof Lantern to Porch
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Brady

Application No : 18/00276/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Chignal House Tendring Avenue Rayleigh
Proposal : Alterations to existing sheltered accommodation including; the creation of 2 no bin stores, the creation of a mobility scooter store and minor internal alterations for the conversion of unused storage space. Two additional car parking spaces involving additional hard surfacing. Enclosure to form 2 drying areas.
Applicant : Sanctuary Group Ltd - Mr Tony O'Neill

Application No : 18/00307/OUT

Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**

Location : 72 Main Road Hawkwell SS5 4JH

Proposal : Site of 72 Main Road and land rear of 70 Main Road and land rear of Rawal Pindi Nursery: Proposed outline application (with all matters reserved) for the demolition of single dwelling and outbuildings and the development of the site for residential purposes

Applicant : Network Construction GB1 Ltd - Mr Martin Keys

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposed residential redevelopment would have a far greater adverse impact on openness and would be inappropriate development in the Green Belt, by definition. In addition, the proposal would result in additional harm due to the significant increased adverse impact on openness that would arise and as a result of the encroachment into the countryside that would result. There are not considered to be any very special circumstances that would clearly outweigh the harm to the Green Belt and the proposal would fall contrary to the statutory development plan allocation, policy GB1 of the Core Strategy, part 13 of the NPPF and Policy DM10.
- 2 The proposal by virtue of the site not making most efficient use of Green Belt land and not contributing to the delivery of infrastructure or affordable housing as a result of the relatively small scale proposal which would not be part of comprehensive and planned residential development would not represent sustainable development.
- 3 Policy DM28 requires that in cases where there is a risk of flooding from surface water run-off arising from the development of 10 residential units or fewer, the Local Planning Authority will require the submission of a flood risk assessment in order to properly consider the proposal. The assessment must include details of SUDs to be incorporated in the development to ensure that any risk of flooding is not increased by surface water runoff arising from the site.

Essex County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority were consulted on the application and advise that the site is located in an area of surface water flood risk, from an identified overland flow route which originates offsite and that further details to demonstrate how this flow path can be managed to mitigate the associated flood risk both onsite and offsite are required. The Lead Local Flood Authority advise of a holding objection in respect of surface water flood risk. As the submitted information does not satisfactorily demonstrate that an appropriate surface water drainage strategy could be provided which would not increase flood risk elsewhere the proposal is considered to fall contrary to Policy DM28.

- 4 The Council have a duty under the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 in the exercising of its functions to have regard, so far as is consistent with the proper exercise of those functions, to the purpose of conserving biodiversity. Policy DM27 states that proposals for development should not cause harm to priority species and habitats identified under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006. A preliminary ecological assessment has been submitted and further

survey works have been recommended to determine the presence/absence of reptiles and badgers. Without the necessary information to assess the ecological impact, the Council is not in a position to be able to fully assess the application in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework and Policy DM27. Sufficient information has not been submitted to ensure that adverse impact would not occur and the potential implications of the proposal for ecological species that may be present on the site has not been sufficiently addressed. The survey results should be supplied before permitting development as the results may have implications for the scale of development that may be appropriate at the site

Application No : 18/00319/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : The Rugby Park Magnolia Road Rochford
Proposal : Proposed upgrade of 1no. existing playing pitch to provide an all weather artificial surface. Install 8 no. 12 metre high columns with mounted floodlights
Applicant : Rochford Hundred Rugby Club

Application No : 18/00330/NMA Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Site Of 289 Ferry Road Hullbridge
Proposal : Application for a non-material amendment following a grant of planning permission for application 17/00228/FUL on 01/08/2017. Proposed Juliette balconies to second floor to front and rear elevation.
Applicant : CJD Artillery Lane Ltd

Application No : 18/00334/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : Ballards Gore Road Ballards Gore
Proposal : Hip to Gable Roof Extension and Rear Dormer, Rooflights to Front and Single Storey Side and Front Extension and Alterations
Applicant : Mr Davis

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The Allocations Plan (2014) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt and the proposal is considered to be inappropriate development contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework. Within the Green Belt, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing buildings, as defined in Policy DM17 of the Development Management Plan or other policy compliant exceptions). Any development which is permitted shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

Policy DM17 of the Development Management Plan provides that the total size of a Green Belt dwelling as extended, including any extension which may have previously been added, will not normally exceed the original floor space by more than 25%. The proposal is considered excessive, rather than reasonable, which together with previous additions would represent a

substantial change in the appearance and character of the property having a significant impact on the openness of this part of the Green Belt contrary to local and national planning policy.

Application No : 18/00421/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : Black Cottage Gusted Hall Lane Hawkwell
Proposal : First Floor Side Extension, Single Storey Front Extension
(Porch) and Single Storey Rear Extension

Applicant : Mrs Fiona King

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 Policy DM17 of the Council's Development Management Document provides that for dwellings located in the Green Belt, the total size of a dwelling as extended, including any extension which may have previously been added, will not normally exceed the original floor space by more than 25%. The proposal is considered to be excessive, rather than reasonable, resulting in a substantial change in the size of the original property and a substantial increase in bulk, contrary to Policy DM17 and detrimental to the openness of the Green Belt. This is considered to represent a disproportionate addition contrary to paragraph 89 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and is thus considered inappropriate development within the Green Belt. No very special circumstances have been put forward to outweigh harm to the Green Belt by way of inappropriateness and it is not considered that any such circumstances exist.

Application No : 18/00422/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 8 Ashdene Close Hullbridge
Proposal : Single Storey Rear Extension and Two Storey Side
Extension
Applicant : Ms Kelly Birch

Application No : 18/00437/COU Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Unit 9a Foundry Business Park Station Approach Hockley
Proposal : Change of use from office space to use for private tuition.

Applicant : Mrs Victoria Carlin

Application No : 18/00441/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful
Development
Certificate**
Location : Ellesmere Ellesmere Road Ashingdon
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for
Proposed Single Storey Rear Extension, Single Storey Side
Extensions, Front Porch, Loft Conversion Incorporating
Two Flat Roofed Dormers and Outbuildings
Applicant : Mr D Coman

Application No : 18/00472/DOC Decision : **Discharge Of Conditions**
Location : 5A Castle Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Application for approval of details reserved by condition 2 to application number 15/00585/FUL for conversion to flats.
Applicant : Mr R Hair

Application No : 18/00485/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : Ardleigh House Hall Road Rochford
Proposal : Proposed First Floor Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Rungay

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The Allocations Plan (2014) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt and the proposal is considered to be inappropriate development contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework. Within the Green Belt, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing buildings, as defined in Policy DM17 of the Development Management Plan or other policy compliant exceptions). Any development which is permitted shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

Policy DM17 of the Development Management Plan provides that the total size of a Green Belt dwelling as extended, including any extension which may have previously been added, will not normally exceed the original floor space by more than 25%. The proposal is considered excessive, rather than reasonable, which together with previous additions would represent a substantial change in the appearance and character of the property having a significant impact on the openness of this part of the Green Belt contrary to local and national planning policy.

Application No : 18/00492/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 91 Clarence Road Rayleigh SS6 8TB
Proposal : Single Storey Front Extension to be Brought in Line with Adjoining Property. Front Door to be Moved from Side to Front
Applicant : Mrs Margaret Pascoe

Application No : 18/00500/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : The Chase The Chase Paglesham
Proposal : Proposed Three Bay Timber Framed Detached Garage
Applicant : Mr Richard Adam

Application No : 18/00526/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 45 Southend Road Hockley SS5 4PZ
Proposal : Loft Conversion to Dental Practice Incorporating Rear
Dormer and Convert Loft Space into 1 Bedroom Flat
Applicant : E Smiles Ltd - Mr Harunani

Application No : 18/00531/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful
Development
Certificate**
Location : 11 Merryfields Avenue Hockley SS5 5AN
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for
proposed garage conversion to habitable space within the
confines of permitted development rights.
Applicant : Mrs Alison Lumb

Application No : 18/00535/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 12 Oak Road Rochford SS4 1NR
Proposal : Two Storey Rear Extension. Create New Rear Dormer
Within Existing Roof Accommodation
Applicant : Mr Spicer

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 It is considered that the proposed extension by reason of its design and scale incorporating a raised eaves height relative to the existing dwelling and a significant roof projection will result in an unsympathetic, discordant and physically overbearing feature which is disproportionate in scale and out of character with the existing building to the detriment of the visual amenity of the area. It is considered that the development would thus be contrary to policy DM1 of Rochford District Council's Local Development Framework Development Management Plan, policy CP1 of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Adopted Version (December 2011) and the framework's Supplementary Planning Document 2 Housing Design (January 2007).
- 2 It is considered that the projecting extension of the scale proposed will enclose the perception of space relative to that perceived by Number 14 Oak Road which will be pronounced as a consequence of the massing of the wall elevation facing in its direction giving rise to an overbearing and unacceptable physical presence to the detriment of the amenity of Number 14 Oak Road. It is considered that the development would thus be contrary to policy DM1 of the Local Development Framework Development Management Plan, policy CP1 of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Adopted Version (December 2011) and the frameworks Supplementary Planning Document 2 Housing Design (January 2007).

Application No : 18/00538/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 49 Mansted Gardens Rochford SS4 3DE
Proposal : Loft Conversion, with front and rear Dormer
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Jowers

Application No : 18/00551/NMA Decision : **Refused Non Material Amendment**
Location : 523 Ashingdon Road Ashingdon
Proposal : Application for a non-material amendment following a grant for planning permission for application 16/00700/OUT. Amendment to communal stair and flat layouts, window adjustments and external entrances to ground floor flats.
Applicant : Mr Steven Reid

- 1 It is considered that the proposed changes to fenestration and the proposed privacy screen would be non-material to the original planning consent 16/00700/OUT.

Application No : 18/00544/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : 23 Woodlands Road Hockley SS5 4PL
Proposal : First Floor Rear Extension to Existing Dormer with Juliette Balcony and Front Elevational Alterations
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Field

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposed two-storey rear extension, by virtue of the flat roofed form, would not achieve a high standard of design contrary to parts (ix), (x) and (xi) of Policy DM1 of Rochford District Council's Development Management Plan (2014), Policy CP1 of the Council's Core Strategy (2011) as well as Rochford District Council's Supplementary Planning Document 2 Housing Design (January 2007).

Application No : 18/00555/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 2 Totman Crescent Rayleigh Essex
Proposal : Change of Use of Units 2 and 4 Totman Crescent from Industrial to Performing Arts Centre, Additional Windows and Doors and Roof Alterations.
Applicant : Masters Performing Arts - Mr Scott Waldron

Application No : 18/00561/LDC Decision : **Refuse Lawful Development Certificate**
Location : 9 Hampton Court Hockley SS5 4XE
Proposal : Application for a certificate of lawfulness for a proposed a single storey rear extension
Applicant : Mr Mark De Manbey

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The submitted plans indicate that the proposed extension would extend beyond a side wall of the original dwellinghouse, and would form a width greater than half the width of the original dwelling. It is therefore considered that the proposal would fail to comply with part (j) of Class A to Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (GPDO) (as amended), and would not be permitted development

Application No : 18/00573/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 15 Kingswood Crescent Rayleigh SS6 7BQ
Proposal : Proposed two storey side extension with rear dormer to match existing
Applicant : Ian Gentry

Application No : 18/00606/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Scaldhurst Farm Lark Hill Road Canewdon
Proposal : Application to Remove Condition 5 on Planning Application ROC/304/84 (No alterations or extensions without prior approval of the Local Planning Authority)
Applicant : Mr G French

Application No : 18/00618/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful Development Certificate**
Location : Land Rear Of 19 Broad Way Hockley
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for use of the existing building as a residential dwelling.
Applicant : Mr L P & Mr S R L, Ms N G Goodliffe & Thomson

Application No : 18/00624/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 5 Church Road Rayleigh SS6 8PL
Proposal : Demolish existing garage and construct two storey rear extension, New bay window to the front, Oak framed canopy roof around the front and side and clad front and side elevation
Applicant : Mr Dave Cameron

Application No : 18/00638/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful Development Certificate**
Location : Aldersbrook 1 Stewards Elm Farm Lane Stambridge
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for a proposed single storey rear extension.
Applicant : Miss Jodie Baker

Application No : 18/00631/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful Development Certificate**
Location : Fairview Sweyne Close Rayleigh
Proposal : Lawful Development Certificate for Proposed Single Storey Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Eves

Application No : 18/00632/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 30 Wedgwood Way Ashingdon SS4 3AS
Proposal : First Floor Side Extension
Applicant : Mr & Mrs R & H Pettit

Application No : 18/00633/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : 42 Golden Cross Road Ashingdon SS4 3DG
Proposal : Demolish existing dwelling and construct detached Chalet house to front and pair of semi detached Bungalows to rear
Applicant : Danny Hilton Homes

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposed dwellings at the rear of No.42 Golden Cross Road would amount to inappropriate backland development within a residential garden which would not achieve the high standard of design and layout and would not contribute positively to the locality contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework. The proposed dwellings by virtue of their setting would create building plots which do not successfully reference the prevailing character of the area and would be out of keeping with the established pattern of development proving detrimental to the character and appearance of the site and the surrounding area contrary to Policy H1 of the Core Strategy (2011) and Policy DM1 and Policy DM3 of the Development Management Plan (2014).
- 2 There are well established trees bordering the site that could be impacted by the development proposed. No tree survey has been provided with the application. Policy DM25 looks to seek to conserve existing trees and hedgerows. Without the necessary information to assess the impact on existing trees, the Council is not in a position to be able to fully assess the application in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework. No information has been submitted to ensure that an adverse impact upon the existing trees would not occur and the potential implications of the proposal for the existing trees on the site have not been sufficiently addressed.

Application No : 18/00634/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : 42 Golden Cross Road Ashingdon SS4 3DG
Proposal : Demolish Existing Dwelling and Construct Detached Chalet House to Front and Detached Bungalow to Rear
Applicant : Danny Hilton Homes

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposed dwelling at the rear of No.42 Golden Cross Road would amount to inappropriate backland development within a residential garden which would not achieve the high standard of design and layout and would not contribute positively to the locality contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework. The proposed dwelling by virtue of its setting would create a building plot which does not successfully reference the prevailing character of the area and would be out of keeping with the established pattern of development and prove detrimental to the character and appearance of the site and the surrounding area contrary to Policy H1 of the Core Strategy (2011) and Policy DM1 and Policy DM3 of the Development Management Plan (2014).
- 2 There are well established trees bordering the site. No tree survey has been provided with the application. Policy DM25 looks to seek to conserve existing trees and hedgerows. Without the necessary information to assess the impact on existing trees, the Council is not in a position to be able to fully assess the application in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework. No information has been submitted to ensure that an adverse impact upon the existing trees would not occur and the potential implications of the proposal for the existing trees on the site have not been sufficiently addressed.

Application No : 18/00635/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 25 Ferndale Road Rayleigh SS6 9NN
Proposal : Part Single/Part Two Storey Rear Extension with Roof
Lantern to Flat Roof Element
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Adam Mason

Application No : 18/00640/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 20 Oakleigh Avenue Hullbridge SS5 6EJ
Proposal : Proposed First Floor Side Extension and Single Storey Side
Extension
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Kemp

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The submitted plans indicate that the proposed first floor side extension would be located within 1 metre from the boundary of the application site. There is therefore considered to be a lack of suitable separation between the proposed extension and the boundary of the site which would result in lack of suitable separation between the built forms, with a resulting detrimental impact upon the visual amenity of the street scene through loss of openness and a suitable pattern of development. The proposed development is therefore deemed contrary to the guidance provided within Supplementary Planning Document 2 as well as Policy CP1 of the Core Strategy and DM1 of the Development Management Plan in terms of resultant poor design.

Application No : 18/00641/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful Development Certificate**
 Location : 181 Daws Heath Road Rayleigh SS6 7NN
 Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for a proposed loft conversion including rear dormer and insertion of rooflights to front.
 Applicant : Mr & Mrs P Kelly

Application No : 18/00645/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
 Location : 169 Lower Road Hullbridge SS5 6BD
 Proposal : Single Storey Rear Extension with Roof Lights, Raise Roof of Garage and Convert to Habitable Accommodation
 Applicant : Mrs Michelle Huggins

Application No : 18/00648/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
 Location : 36 Highams Road Hockley SS5 4DF
 Proposal : Single Storey Side Extension and Convert Garage to Habitable Accommodation and Change of Roof Form to Hipped Pitch
 Applicant : Mr & Mrs Williamson

Application No : 18/00650/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful Development Certificate**
 Location : 14 Woodlands Road Hockley SS5 4PL
 Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for proposed removal of the hip and form a gable end. Form dormer to the rear and roof windows to the front roof slope. Form habitable accommodation in the Roof.
 Applicant : Mr & Mrs Richard & Sarah Smith

Application No : 18/00653/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful Development Certificate**
 Location : 6 Grange Gardens Rayleigh SS6 9BE
 Proposal : Application for Lawful Development Certificate for proposed loft conversion with hip to gable roof and rear dormer
 Applicant : Mr & Mrs Johnson

Application No : 18/00657/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
 Location : Land East Of The Old Parsonage Ironwell Lane Hawkwell
 Proposal : Proposed 4-bedroom Dwelling With Detached Double Garage, Driveway and Fencing
 Applicant : Chelmsford Diocesan Board Of Finance - Mr Kevin Quinlan

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposed dwelling would represent inappropriate development in the Green Belt and leads to a loss of openness of the Green Belt. Furthermore, the 'very special circumstances' submitted to justify the development is not considered to outweigh the harm upon the Green Belt. Therefore, the proposal is contrary to the NPPF.
- 2 No ecological surveys have been provided with this application however, the habitat would appear to be suitable for protected species. Natural England Standing Advice advises that old trees such as those present at the application site and grassland which is a characteristic here, have the potential for protected species such as bats and badgers to be present. Without the necessary information to assess the ecological impact, the Council is not in a position to be able to fully assess the application in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework. No information has been submitted to ensure that such adverse impact would not occur and the potential implication of the proposal for ecological species that may be present on the site has not been sufficiently addressed.

Application No : 18/00675/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 32 Elm Grove Hullbridge SS5 6ER
Proposal : Single Storey Rear Extension, Rear Extension to Existing
Roof Accommodation with New Juliet Balcony, and Front
Facade Improvements
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Harman

Application No : 18/00680/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 83A Rayleigh Avenue Eastwood SS9 5DL
Proposal : Single Storey Rear Extension with Roof Lantern. Extend
Roof With New Rear Gable to Allow for Two Additional
Rooms in Roof at Second Floor. New Pitched Roof to
Existing Single Storey Side Extension
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Potton

Application No : 18/00684/LBC Decision : **Grant Listed Building
Consent**
Location : 63 High Street Great Wakering Essex
Proposal : Remove existing timber weatherboarding on both gable
ends. Replace with like-for-like 7" Essex board and
weatherproof to provide a similar finish as existing.

Note: A physical sample of existing and proposed can be
provided if required.
Applicant : Mrs V Parker & Miss H Anson

Application No : 18/00688/NMA Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 42 Alexandra Road Ashingdon Essex
Proposal : Non material amendment following approval of
17/00290/FUL dated 25th May 2017 - extension of rear
dormer to create storage space, dormer extension
,adjustment of windows in rear dormer
Applicant : Mr Tim Neobard

Application No : 18/00689/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 3 Abbey Road Hullbridge SS5 6DG
Proposal : Proposed Flat Roof Front Dormers incorporating Carport
Beneath, Pitched Roof Front Porch and Extend Existing
Rear Dormer and Create Double Pitched Roof over Existing
Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr Jamie Lunan

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 It is considered that the proposed flat roof dormer on the prominent front elevation by reason of its scale, squat form and design would constitute a visually intrusive addition which would appear incongruous within the wider street scene. It is considered that the proposed development would thus be contrary to policies DM1 and of the Local Development Framework Development Management Plan (Adopted 16 December 2014) and CP1 of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Adopted Version (December 2011) and Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) 2 Housing Design.

- 2 It is considered that the rear dormer extension by reason of its scale will render it to be an incongruous and unattractive addition which will have a physically overbearing presence and influence upon occupiers to Number 5 Abbey Road such that it is considered that it will demonstrably affect the amenity of that property thus conflicting with policies DM1 of the Local Development Framework Development Management Plan (Adopted 16 December 2014) and CP1 of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy Adopted Version (December 2011) and Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) 2 Housing Design

Application No : 18/00701/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Bramblehurst Farm Hyde Wood Lane Canewdon
Proposal : Replacement of existing mobile dwelling with permanent
dwelling
Applicant : Mrs Christine Buckingham

Application No : 18/00692/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful Development Certificate**

Location : 141 London Road Rayleigh SS6 9AU
 Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for Proposed loft conversion with hip to gable roof change and rear dormer
 Applicant : Mr & Mrs Sawtell

Application No : 18/00693/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : 1 Spa Close Hockley SS5 4AY
 Proposal : Single Storey Pitched Roof Front Extension and Convert Part of Roof Space to Bedroom with Roof Lights
 Applicant : Mr & Mrs Millard

Application No : 18/00699/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful Development Certificate**

Location : 7 Church Road Barling Magna SS3 0LS
 Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for a proposed addition of a side dormer with a hipped roof to an existing dwelling house.
 Applicant : Mr & Mrs Daniels

Application No : 18/00700/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : 29 Nevern Road Rayleigh SS6 7PD
 Proposal : Demolish Existing Conservatory and Construct Single Storey Rear Extension
 Applicant : Mr & Mrs A Owens

Application No : 18/00705/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : 46 Doggetts Close Rochford SS4 1ED
 Proposal : Single Storey Rear Extension, First Floor Rear Extension and Convert Dwelling (Use Class C3) to 4 Bed House in Multiple Occupation (HMO) (Use Class C4).
 Applicant : Mr & Mrs Shah

Application No : 18/00714/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**

Location : Penclawdd Clements Hall Lane Hawkwell
 Proposal : Proposed Rear/Side Extension to Form Granny Annexe. Front and Rear Dormers and Roof Lights to Main Roof Area
 Applicant : Mrs Sally McCarthy

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The application site lies within the Green Belt as identified in the Rochford District Council Local Development Framework Allocations Plan. The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the general presumption against inappropriate development within the Green Belt. Such development should not be approved except in very special circumstances. Very special circumstances to justify inappropriate development will not exist unless the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm, is clearly outweighed by other considerations.

The proposed two storey side and extension, by virtue of its scale and bulk would significantly increase the internal floor space by 51% over and above the current internal floor space. As a result, the proposed extension would be a disproportionate addition to the dwelling, reducing the openness of the Green Belt in this location representing inappropriate development in the Green Belt which is by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and contrary to Policy GB1 of the Core Strategy, Policy DM17 of the Development Management Plan and the NPPF.

Application No : 18/00719/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 28 Branksome Avenue Hockley SS5 5PF
Proposal : Remove Existing Conservatory and Construct Single Storey
Rear Extension With Roof Lights
Applicant : Mr Ward

Application No : 18/00725/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful
Development
Certificate**
Location : 172 Rawreth Lane Rayleigh Essex
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for a
proposed outbuilding within the curtilage of the property.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Sargeant

Application No : 18/00724/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 15 Sandhill Road Eastwood SS9 5BX
Proposal : Proposed Two Storey Side/Rear Extension, Create First
Floor Bay to Front, Single Storey Front Extension, Single
Storey Rear Extension, Replace Roof with Hipped Ends
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Miles

Application No : 18/00730/LDC Decision : **LDC Split Decision**
Location : 10 Ashworths Ashingdon SS4 3EF
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for a
proposed rear single storey extension and a single storey
porch to front elevation.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Borrie

Application No : 18/00731/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 14 Mount Crescent Hockley SS5 5AE
Proposal : Single Storey Side and Rear Extension. Pitched Roof
Dormers to Front

Applicant : Mr Sam Smith

Application No : 18/00732/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful
Development
Certificate**

Location : 14 Mount Crescent Hockley SS5 5AE
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for
proposed dormer to rear and hip to gable with internal
alterations
Applicant : Mr Sam Smith

Application No : 18/00735/LDC Decision : **Refuse Lawful
Development
Certificate**

Location : 3 Murrels Lane Hullbridge SS5 6AB
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for a
proposal to demolish existing rear extension and proposing
a side extension with pitched roof, rear extension with a flat
roof and internal alterations.
Applicant : Mr Taiani

Reason(s) for Refusal

1. The proposed rear enlargement combined with the existing rear enlargement to which it would join, would extend beyond the rear elevation of the original dwellinghouse by approximately 8.75 metres, meaning that the proposal would exceed the 8 metres allowable under part (g) subject to the submission of a prior approval application. For proposed enlargements to be permissible under part (g), that exceed the limits set out under part (f), a prior approval application to the Local Planning Authority is required prior to the submission of an application for a Lawful Development Certificate. The proposal would therefore fail to comply with part (g) of Class A to Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (GPDO) (as amended) and would not be permitted development.
2. The proposed rear enlargement would join to an existing rear extension, and would exceed the limits set out under part (g). The proposal would therefore fail to comply with part (ja) of Class A to Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (GPDO) (as amended) and would not be permitted development.
3. The submitted plans indicate that the proposed side extension would exceed 4 metres in height and therefore the proposal would fail to comply with part (j) of Class A to Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (GPDO) (as amended) and would not be permitted development.

Application No : 18/00743/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 32 High Mead Rayleigh SS6 7DU
Proposal : Proposed First Floor Rear Extension. Extend Existing Rear
Dormer
Applicant : Mr & Mrs To

Application No : 18/00745/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 31 Barling Road Great Wakering SS3 0QD
Proposal : Demolition of existing dwelling, annex and garage and
construction of new dwelling, garage and annex
Applicant : Mr & Mrs S Sandat

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The Allocations Plan (2014) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt and the proposal is considered to be inappropriate development contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework. Within the Green Belt, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings (other than replacement dwellings, as defined in Policy DM21 of the Development Management Plan or other policy compliant exceptions). Any development which is permitted shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

Policy DM21 of the Development Management Plan provides that the total size of a Green Belt replacement dwelling, should result in no more than a 25% increase in floorspace of the original dwelling (as it existed on 1st July 1948 or, if constructed after 1st July 1948, as it was built originally). The proposal is considered excessive, rather than reasonable, resulting in a substantial change in the appearance and character of built form on the site having a significant impact on the openness of this part of the Green Belt contrary to local and national planning policy.

Application No : 18/00749/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 4 Rookery Close Rayleigh SS6 7DN
Proposal : Proposed side ground and first floor extension with front
and rear dormers with internal alterations
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Bellinger

Application No : 18/00750/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : New Airport Terminal Building Southend Airport Rochford
Proposal : Application to vary conditions (as below) relating to
planning permission reference 17/00996/FUL for extension
to the terminal building.
1. To approve changes to the design of the extensions
(Condition 2).
2. To seek approval, at the same time, for some of the
details required to be approved prior to construction,
thereby avoiding the need for some of the pre-
commencement conditions to be re-imposed (Conditions
3,4,5,6,8 and 14).
Applicant : London Southend Airport Co. Ltd. - Mr Glyn Jones

Application No : 18/00751/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 34 Willingale Avenue Rayleigh SS6 9HD
Proposal : Pitch roof garage to side of property.
Applicant : Mr Dominic Clements

Application No : 18/00760/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 8 Glenwood Avenue Hawkwell SS5 4HH
Proposal : Single storey rear extension and garage conversion
Applicant : Mr Matthew Underwood

Application No : 18/00789/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 89 High Mead Rayleigh Essex
Proposal : Erect part single storey and part two storey rear extension.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Nicholls

Application No : 18/00791/DPDP1 Decision : **Prior Approval of
Details Not Required**
Location : Concord Lodge The Chase Ashingdon
Proposal : Householder Prior Approval for Single Storey Rear
Extension. Projection 5m from Original Rear Wall, Eaves
Height 3m, Maximum Height 4m
Applicant : Ricky Grice

Application No : 18/00697/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 9 Langham Drive Rayleigh
Proposal : Two Storey Side Extension
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Fowell

Application No : 18/00764/LDC Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 14 Albany Road Rayleigh SS6 8TE
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for
proposed loft conversion to rear dormer to existing roof.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs S. Clarke

Application No : 18/00767/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 27A Trinity Road Rayleigh SS6 8QB
Proposal : Proposed Single Storey Rear Extension and Loft
Conversion with Flat Roof Rear Dormer and Rooflights to
Front
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Byron

Application No : 18/00773/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 73 High Road Hockley Essex
Proposal : Two Storey Side Extension, Alterations and Dormer to
South West Elevation
Applicant : Mr C Rixon

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The application site lies within the Green Belt as identified in the Rochford District Council Local Development Framework Allocations Plan. The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the general presumption against inappropriate development within the Green Belt. Such development should not be approved except in very special circumstances. Very special circumstances to justify inappropriate development will not exist unless the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm, is clearly outweighed by other considerations.

The proposed two storey side extension, by virtue of its scale and bulk would significantly increase the internal floor space by 50% over and above the current internal floor space. As a result, the proposed extension would be a disproportionate addition to the dwelling, reducing the openness of the Green Belt in this location representing inappropriate development in the Green Belt which is by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and contrary to Policy GB1 of the Core Strategy, Policy DM17 of the Development Management Plan and the NPPF.

Application No : 18/00776/NMA Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 4 The Gattens Rayleigh Essex
Proposal : Application for a Non-material Amendment following
application 18/00132/FUL: Two Storey Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Kemp

Application No : 18/00781/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 1- Kingsmead Cottages Barling Road Barling Magna
Proposal : Application to vary condition 2 of 17/443/FUL, to supersede
approved plans to allow for minor amendment to approved
scheme.
Applicant : Mr P Barthaud

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The Allocations Plan (2014) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt and the proposal is considered to be inappropriate development contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework. Within the Green Belt, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings (other than replacement dwellings, as defined in Policy DM21 of the Development Management Plan or other policy compliant exceptions). Any development which is permitted shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

Policy DM21 of the Development Management Plan provides that the total size of a Green Belt replacement dwelling, should result in no more than a 25% increase in floorspace of the original dwelling (as it existed on 1st July 1948 or, if constructed after 1st July 1948, as it was built originally). The proposal in seeking further incremental additions is considered excessive, rather than reasonable, impacting on the openness of this part of the Green Belt contrary to local and national planning policy.

Application No : 18/00792/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : High Gables Rayleigh Downs Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish existing dwelling and construct replacement four
bedroomed chalet
Applicant : Mr John Livermore

Application No : 18/00782/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 1 Broadlands Avenue Rayleigh SS6 8AQ
Proposal : Single Storey Flat Roof Extension and Terrace to Rear
Applicant : Miss Collette & Mr Nathan Wheeler and Smith

Application No : 18/00783/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful
Development
Certificate**
Location : 111 Langham Drive Rayleigh SS6 9TL
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for a
proposed single storey rear extension.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Field

Application No : 18/00784/ADV Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 6 - 8 Golden Cross Parade Ashingdon Road Rochford
Proposal : 2no internally illuminated and 1no non - illuminated fascia signs, 1no non-illuminated sign to front and 1 no non-illuminated sign to rear
Applicant : Food Programme Delivery Orchid Group - Co-operative Food

Application No : 18/00785/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : First Floor 115 - 117 Ferry Road Hullbridge
Proposal : Change Use First Floor to Dance Studio (D2)
Applicant : Chelmsford Star Co-operative Society Ltd - Mr B Wood

Application No : 18/00790/DOC Decision : **Discharge Of Conditions**
Location : 15 Bramerton Road Hockley Essex
Proposal : Application for approval of details reserved by condition following approved development application 17/00909/FUL on 16/11/2017 to change condition 3 -change the colour of the roof tiles.
Applicant : Mr John French

Application No : 18/00787/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 46 London Hill Rayleigh SS6 7HP
Proposal : Two Storey Rear Extension, Extend Roof and Rebuild Porch
Applicant : Mr & Mrs L Woolcock

Application No : 18/00793/DOC Decision : **Discharge Of Conditions**
Location : Land Adjacent 191 Rectory Avenue Ashingdon
Proposal : Discharge of Conditions 3 (Materials), 4 (Landscaping), 5 and 6 (Tree Protection) of Application Ref: 18/00147/FUL
Applicant : Mr Barry Cheek

Application No : 18/00799/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 36 Rosslyn Close Hockley SS5 5BP
Proposal : Demolish Garage and Erect Single Storey Side Extension with Roof Lantern. First Floor Side Extension
Applicant : Mr Andrew Milne

Application No : 18/00820/NMA Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Land Adjacent 191 Rectory Avenue Rochford
Proposal : Application for non material amendment to dwelling
approved on 30th May 2018 under application
18/00147/FUL and comprising alterations to window and
partition
Applicant : Mr Barry Cheek

Application No : 18/00801/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 1 Nelson Close Rayleigh SS6 8HE
Proposal : outbuilding in rear garden
Applicant : Mr John Browning

Application No : 18/00811/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 40 York Road Ashingdon Essex
Proposal : Demolish conservatory and construct part single storey part
two storey rear extension
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Simpson

Application No : 18/00813/LDC Decision : **Refuse Lawful
Development
Certificate**
Location : Tye Hoppet Lark Hill Road Canewdon
Proposal : Application for a certificate of lawfulness for proposed
extensions and re-model within permitted development
rights.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs S Harris

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposed rear extension would extend beyond the rear wall of the original dwellinghouse by 4.2 metres, meaning that it would exceed the limits set out under part (f) for detached dwellings. As the proposal exceeds the limits set out under part (f), for it to potentially conform to the criteria set out under part (g), an application for prior approval would need to be submitted to the Local Planning Authority. No such application has been received. Therefore, the application currently fails to comply with the criteria set out under part (f) and part (g) of Class A to Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (GPDO) (as amended), and would not be permitted development.
2. The submitted plans indicate that the proposed extension would join to the rear wall of an existing side extension. The proposal would therefore extend beyond the side wall of the original dwellinghouse, and would form a width greater than half the width of the original dwelling. As a result, the proposal would fail to comply with the criteria set out under part (j), and as the proposal would join to an existing side extension, would also fail to comply with the criteria set out under Part (ja) of Class A to Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (GPDO) (as amended), and would not be permitted development.

3. The proposals would include the provision of a balcony. As a result, the proposal would be contrary to part (k) (i) of Class A to Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (GPDO) (as amended), and would not be permitted development.
4. The submitted plans indicate that the proposed structure would be a maximum of 3.1 metres above ground level, therefore the proposal would exceed the limits set out under part (c) of Class D to Schedule 2, Part 1 of the (GPDO) (as amended), and would not be permitted development.

Application No : 18/00816/DPDP1 Decision : **Prior Approval
Required - Refused**

Location : The Chalet Woodside Road Hockley

Proposal : Householder Prior Approval for Single Storey Rear
Extension. Projection 4.85m from Original Rear Wall, Eaves
Height 3m, Maximum Height 3.25m

Applicant : Mr And Mrs Prior

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 In accordance with the provisions of Class A, Part 1 of Schedule 2 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 2015, I hereby confirm that Prior Approval is REFUSED as the proposed development does not comply with the conditions, limitations or restrictions applicable to development permitted by Class A which exceeds the limits in paragraph A.1 (f) but is provided for by paragraph A.1 (g). Part (ja) of Class A to Schedule 2, Part 1 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended) states that development is not permitted by Class A if any total enlargement (being the enlarged part together with any existing enlargement of the original dwellinghouse to which it will be joined) exceeds or would exceed the limits set out in sub-paragraphs (e) to (j);
The drawing provided states 'proposed extension to be stepped in from adjacent extensions in accordance with permitted development + 'Rochford District Council' planners advice'. However, the layout plan provided does not show a clear separation between the proposed extension and the existing extensions, it still suggests that these walls are joined. On this basis it is considered that the proposed extension would join to two existing extensions that extend beyond both side elevations of the original dwelling. The proposed extension taken together with the existing extensions, in which it would join, would extend beyond two original side walls and would have a width greater than half the width of the original dwellinghouse. The proposal would therefore not comply with part (j).
The total enlargement (the enlarged part taken with the existing enlargements) would exceed the limits set out in sub-paragraph (j). Therefore, the proposal would fail to comply with part (ja).

Application No : 18/00824/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : 26 Bramerton Road Hockley

Proposal : Proposed Single Storey Side and Rear Extension with Roof
Lantern, Alterations to Front Fenestration and Windows.
Alteration to Garage Roof

Applicant : Mr And Mrs Hall

Application No : 18/00825/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 37 Highfield Crescent Rayleigh
Proposal : Hip to Gable Roof Extension and Pitched Roof Front
Dormers with Infill Between and Enlarge Existing Rear
Dormer
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Isaacs

Application No : 18/00828/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 10 The Crofts Little Wakering SS3 0JS
Proposal : First Floor Rear Extension
Applicant : Glen Fraser

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposed development, by reason of its siting, scale and bulk in close proximity to the western boundary shared with no.12 The Crofts would be unreasonably overbearing. The massing of the proposed development would result in a significant increase in sense of enclosure due to over dominance, having a detrimental impact upon the amenities of the occupiers at no.12 The Crofts. The proposed development is therefore considered to be contrary to policy DM1 of the Development Management Plan.

Application No : 18/00830/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : Barn At Eastwood Nurseries Arterial Road
Proposal : The erection of 1.8m steel palisade fence and gates
(retrospective). Proposed construction of a 4m x 10m
concrete access.
Applicant : Mr Barrie Stone

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The Allocations Plan (2014) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt and the proposal is considered to be inappropriate development contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework. The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open; the essential characteristics of Green Belts are their openness and permanence. When considering any planning application, local planning authorities should ensure that substantial weight is given to any harm to the Green Belt. The construction of new buildings in the Green Belt should be regarded as inappropriate development and is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances. Very special circumstances will not exist unless potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm, is clearly outweighed by other considerations.

The proposed development would represent inappropriate development within the Green Belt having a significant detrimental impact upon the openness of the Green Belt resulting in the objectives of Green Belt being adversely

impaired. Furthermore, no 'very special circumstances' have been submitted sufficient to justify the development. The proposal is considered to be contrary to the NPPF.

- 2 The development proposed would result in a material change potentially increasing the number of vehicles existing from and accessing onto Bartletts. A Transport Statement (TS) is required in order to fully assess the impact of the proposed development on the highway network. The application proposal is not supported by a TS and there is insufficient information to be able to show that the proposed development would not give rise to a detrimental impact on highway safety, contrary to the NPPF to ensure that all developments that generate significant amounts of movement are supported by a TS to show that safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved.
- 3 No ecological surveys have been provided with this application however, the habitat would appear to be suitable for protected species. Natural England Standing Advice advises that old trees such as those present at the application site and grassland which is a characteristic here, have the potential for protected species such as bats and badgers to be present. Without the necessary information to assess the ecological impact, the Council is not in a position to be able to fully assess the application in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework. No information has been submitted to ensure that such adverse impact would not occur and the potential implication of the proposal for ecological species that may be present on the site has not been sufficiently addressed.

Application No : 18/00831/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 4 Doric Avenue Rochford SS4 3DF
Proposal : Proposed Single Storey Rear Extension and New Side Window
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Thorne

Application No : 18/00836/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 33 Upway Rayleigh SS6 8AG
Proposal : Single Storey Rear Extension and Flat Roof Front Dormer
Applicant : Ana Bradley

Application No : 18/00838/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful Development Certificate**
Location : 22 Eastview Drive Rayleigh SS6 9NY
Proposal : Application for a Lawful Development Certificate for Proposed Single Storey Side Extension
Applicant : Mr Neil Carter

Application No : 18/00839/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 106-108 High Street Rayleigh
Proposal : Change of use from Use class A4 as a night club and bar to Use Class D2 - Assembly and Leisure.

Applicant : Mr Michael Rickards

Application No : 18/00841/LBC Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : 1 The Chaseway The Chase Paglesham

Proposal : Repair Damaged Roof, Replace Quarry Tiles in Kitchen Area, Replaster and Replacement of Wall Materials Internally

Applicant : Mrs Jo Timothy

Application No : 18/00844/DPDP1 Decision : **Application Withdrawn**

Location : 10 Broadlands Avenue Rayleigh Essex

Proposal : Householder Prior Approval for Single Storey Rear Extension. Projection 4m from Original Rear Wall, Eaves Height 2.5m, Maximum Height not to exceed 4m

Applicant : Mr Daws

Application No : 18/00845/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : Land At Flemings Farm Flemings Farm Road Eastwood

Proposal : Use of Caravan Site For Up to 10 Touring Caravans and Installation of Septic Tank

Applicant : R&W Avery Ltd - Mr Stephen Avery

Application No : 18/00857/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : Old Rectory Apton Hall Road Stambridge

Proposal : Demolish Existing Garages and Construct One Garage Building

Applicant : Mr Robin Slater

Application No : 18/00858/DPDP1 Decision : **Prior Approval of Details Not Required**

Location : 12 Brooklyn Drive Rayleigh

Proposal : Householder Prior Approval for Single Storey Rear Extension. Projection 6m from Original Rear Wall, Eaves Height 3m, Maximum Height 3m

Applicant : Chris Lowe

Application No : 18/00860/DOC Decision : **Discharge Of Conditions**

Location : 69 High Street Great Wakering SS3 0ED

Proposal : Application for Discharge of Conditions 1, 3 and 8 following planning permission for 18/000120/Full dated 02/05/2018

Applicant : Fine Build Homes - Mr Tyler Pearmain

Application No : 18/00866/DPDP1 Decision : **Prior Approval of
Details Not Required**
Location : 3 Brookside Avenue Great Wakering
Proposal : Householder Prior Approval for Single Storey Rear
Extension. Projection 4m from Original Rear Wall, Eaves
Height 2.7m, Maximum Height 3m
Applicant : Mr James Dansie

Application No : 18/00875/DPDP1 Decision : **Prior Approval of
Details Not Required**
Location : Little Orchard Cottage Barling Road Barling Magna
Proposal : Householder Prior Approval for Single Storey Rear
Extension. Projection 5m from Original Rear Wall, Eaves
Height 2.6m, Maximum Height 3.3m
Applicant : Mr Andrew Dalgarno

Application No : 18/00893/NMA Decision : **Application Withdrawn**
Location : 40 King Henrys Drive Rochford
Proposal : Application for non material amendment following
18/00051/FUL dated 18th April 2018 for porch and canopy
roof to front elevation and 3 additional windows to side
elevation
Applicant : Miss Stacey Lemon

Application No : 18/00900/DPDP1 Decision : **Prior Approval of
Details Not Required**
Location : 17 Goose Cottages Chelmsford Road Rawreth
Proposal : Householder Prior Approval for Single Storey Rear
Extension. Projection 6m from Original Rear Wall, Eaves
Height 3m, Maximum Height 4m
Applicant : Mr Harry Blackman

Application No : 18/00911/DPDP1 Decision : **Prior Approval of
Details Not Required**
Location : Canewdon Hall Farm Canewdon Hall Farm Canewdon
Proposal : Householder Prior Approval for Single Storey Rear
Extension. Projection 8m from Original Rear Wall, Eaves
Height 2.7m, Maximum Height 2.7m
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Robinson