

RECEIVED
POST ROOM
11 JUL 2011

5-7-2011

Dear Sir

I do not think the cons
STRATEGY IS SOUND AND LEGALLY COMPLIANT
FOR REASONS.

- 1 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC were not aware
of meetings that the council had until
IT WAS TO LATE
- 2 THE COUNCIL HAVE STATED THAT THEY WOULD
ONLY ALLOW DEVELOPMENT ON GREEN BELL LAND
AS A LAST RESORT, THIS IS untrue AS THE
PARISH COUNCIL AT KIRKHAM HAVE SUBMITTED
THEIR OPINION ON BROWN FIELD SITES THAT could
BE DEVELOPED ON BUT YOU TURNED DOWN
- 3 THE COUNCIL HAVE STATED THAT ONLY 1% OF
GREEN BELL LAND would BE DEVELOPED ON.
THIS IS untrue, IN RANKIN THIS IS QUITE A
LOT MORE THAN THAT AND IF YOU TAKE INTO
ACCOUNT ON NEW ASSESSMENT FOR FLOODING
THOSE WOULD HAVE TO BE A WATER HOLDING
LAKE THAT would use much less
GREEN BELL LAND
- 4 THE COUNCIL HAVE STATED THAT THEY WOULD
NOT ALLOW GREEN BELL LAND FOR TRAVELERS
THIS IS untrue AS ON YOUR PLAN THERE ARE
TWO SITES FOR TRAVELERS ON GREEN BELL LAND

- ②
- 5 INFORMATION WAS NOW EXISTING AND DESPITE
MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC NOT KNOWING WHAT
WAS GOING ON THEY WERE OVER 2000 PEOPLE
CONTACTED THE COUNCIL ABOUT THEIR DECISIONS
BUT THAT WAS NOT PUT ON YOUR WEBSITE FOR
THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO SEE
- 6 WHEN I ATTENDED A MEETING IN THE PARISH WHERE
THE MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL WERE PRESENT WE
WERE TOLD WE COULD NOT ASK QUESTIONS BUT
THAT WAS WHY WE HAD TO EXIT MEETING AFTER
PUBLIC COMMENT ALL WE WERE ALLOWED TO DO WAS
THIS WAS NOT LONG ENOUGH
- 7 I ATTENDED A MEETING WITH LAURA GROTHAM
PRESENT AND WAS TOLD BY RICHARD COUNCIL
I COULD NOT TALK ABOUT FLOODING AND IF I
DID I WOULD BE THROWN OUT OF THE MEETING
THE COUNCIL DENIED THAT I HAD WRITTEN IN
BUT DESPITE WHAT I WAS TOLD BY THE COUNCIL
I DID SPEAK ABOUT FLOODING TO LAURA GROTHAM
AND WAS NOT CHALLENGED BY ANY ONE
- 8 THE COUNCIL ARE AWARE ABOUT FLOODING IN KARNSH
BUT STILL REFUSE TO LISTEN; THERE IS STILL AFTER
ELEVEN YEARS OF THE FIRST PROPOSAL STILL NO RISK
ASSESSMENT. THE PARISH COUNCIL'S PROPOSALS WOULD HAVE
MEANT THAT WATER FROM DEVELOPMENT WOULD HAVE
RUN INTO THE RIVER CREEK
- 9 THE COUNCIL AND EMPLOYED TO TRY TO DO WHAT
MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WANT AND NOT WHAT THEY
WANT OR WHAT THESE MEMBERS WANT. I CANNOT
THINK OF ONE PERSON THAT WANTS WHAT THEY
HAVE PROPOSED

(2)

10 THE COUNCIL SHIRKED HAS NOT THOUGHT ABOUT
THE IMPLICATIONS OF TRAFFIC AND POLLUTION OR
SERVED TO THE PUBLIC

YOURS FAITHFULLY

[REDACTED]