

# ROCHFORD ALLOCATIONS SUBMISSIONS DOCUMENT

## HEARING EXAMINATION

10.00 AM WEDNESDAY 4 SEPTEMBER 2013

### MATTERS FOR DISCUSSION

#### **Issue 2 (Hullbridge)**

Is the allocated housing site SER6 at South West Hullbridge justified, deliverable within the plan period and consistent with national policy?

- i) Have the consultation procedures undertaken been adequate and in compliance with the Statement of Community Involvement and the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012?
- ii) Is the site selected justified when compared to other reasonable alternatives?
- iii) Is the proposed development deliverable over the plan period having regard, amongst other things, to land ownership issues and infrastructure constraints?
- iv) Are the detailed site boundaries appropriate?
- v) Is it reasonable to sub-divide the allocated area so that the second phase on SER6b is prevented until after 2021?
- vi) Can the necessary highway and other infrastructure be provided having regard to flooding along Watery Lane, drainage and sewage?
- vii) How will the development be integrated into Hullbridge?
- viii) If the specific site is found to be unsound, then what are the alternative options?
- ix) If Site SER6 is found unsound, would Site 17 (Representations 28689 and 29006) be sound?