

Objection Number 28594 – Linda Kendall POLICY GT1

ROCHFORD DISTRICT COUNCIL

November 2012

Local Development Framework

Allocations Submission Document

**Proposed Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation
Date August 15, 2013**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Linda Kendall', is located in the bottom right corner of the page.

1. Policy GT1 – Gypsy & Traveller Accommodation

1.1 Summary

The local community together with Rayleigh's existing traveller community strongly opposes the plans put forward by Rochford District Council (RDC) as unworkable and detrimental to the locality and being the result of a deeply flawed consultation process. The Council will claim that they have consulted widely but when news of this proposed development broke in July 2013, a public meeting attracted in excess of 600 local residents. A group was established to support Linda Kendall and its Facebook page attracted 2,286 members. The number of names on the group's petition opposing the plans to establish a single traveller site for the entire Rochford district stands at 5,062. All participants are 18 years of age and above. The number names in favour of the developments stands at just 5. The group's online petition asked the question 'did you know about these plans before July 2013?' The overwhelming 93% answer was 'NO'.

According to the council's 'Statement Of Community Involvement', one of the core objectives is to 'engage effectively with all sections of the community' regarding their plans. The fact that 93% of residents and businesses stated they were unaware of the council's plans prior to July 2013 clearly highlights that the council has failed to engage effectively with the community on this fundamental matter and is in breach of the Rochford District SCI.

It is the group's contention that:

1. The consultation process is flawed as it is no coincidence that residents in the IMMEDIATE locality did not know of these plans. RDC also failed to effectively consult with the leader of the existing traveller settlement, Mr. Jack Smith, located at Cherry Hill, Rawreth. Additionally, RDC has not undertaken effective consultation with the London Gypsy and Traveller Unit or the National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Groups
2. The strategy for a single site for the entire Rochford district is fundamentally flawed. RDC has not considered the social dynamics of mixing diverse gypsy and traveller groups with different habits and customs.
3. The proposed traveller site is included to the detriment of the existing 'illegal' traveller site (Cherry Hill, Rawreth) that peacefully co exists within and is an integral part of the west Rayleigh community.
4. The existing traveller site has little or no visual impact on the entrance to Rayleigh or the Green Belt despite a previous planning enquiry that suggested it did. This is evidenced by the number of residents that knew 'our' travellers in person but not where they lived.

The objector suggests that Policy GT1 together with the strategy of establishing a single site for the entire Rochford district fails the tests of Justification and Soundness. Therefore, the objector requests that site GT1 is not approved by the planning inspector and the strategy is revised to accommodate multiple smaller sites located throughout the district.



2. Justification

2.1 Failure to adequately consult the settled, traveller and gypsy communities

Policy GT1 is unjustified because Rochford District Council (the LPA) has failed to engage effectively with the settled, traveller and gypsy communities it aims to serve.

- RDC has failed to effectively consult the settled community. 86% of the settled community that completed the online petition stated they were unaware of the council's plans. The number of names on the group's petition opposing the plans to establish a single traveller site for the entire Rochford district stands at 5062 (please refer to the appendix)
- The statement in response to the Inspectors Initial questions May 2013 that they have attempted to contact the Gypsy Council without success does not negate the need for dialogue with those affected by this proposal.
- RDC are fully aware of the Traveller settlement at Cherry Hill, Rawreth. RDC failed to consult with the leader of that settlement, Mr. Jack Smith, and failed to inform him of the intention to order the transfer of his small community to the site at GT1. There is evidence that the traveller community have been resident on Mr. Smith's present site for in excess of 65 years. Mr. Jack Smith, whose extended family resides at this location, have paid council tax for 11 years (which RDC has readily accepted even given this site to be deemed 'illegal'). They have integrated with the local community and lived quietly on their own land without incident for the whole of that period.
- This minority community has the support of the settled community. These statements accord with the recommendations contained in the above Good Practice Guide. RDC have failed in a Duty of Care to this minority Community.

(appendix 1)

2.2 Errors in the Allocations Submissions Document

It is the objector's case that the RDC have failed to show due diligence in the production of this crucial document to the detriment of the persons it might affect in the District they serve

- Page 13, Figure 2 – Key Allocations Diagram - The diagram fails to identify the site GT1 or any planned development in the location stated. Additionally, there is no textual no annotation alongside the map to identify the site to assist viewing the document. This fundamental error in the production of this document could lead to those affected being unaware of the proposals that follow in the full document.
- Page 163, Figure 11 - Proposal Map - The use of a thick border to outline the district on a second map which completely obscures any visual reference to site GT1 has led to the disadvantage of the general public.

(appendix 2)

2.3 Alternative sites to GT1

The A1245 Cherry Hill Traveller site, could meet 80% of the Gypsy and Traveller allocation requirement sought by RDC. A previous Planning application was refused primarily on traffic issues regarding ingress and egress of the site. This site was recommended for approval by RDC but refused due to the intervention of the same District Councilors who are now supporting the Michelin Farm Traveller site



Essex Highway Traffic Assessment has since found no evidence of incident at the entrance to the site on the south bound track of the A1245. This site on the same road as the one proposed is in a much quieter location with considerably less motor traffic. Reference ECC Traffic survey

(appendix 3)

(appendix 4)

(appendix 5)

These proposals will result, if approved, in the loss of Mr Smith's home of eleven years as they will result in the implementation an enforcement notice served in June 14, 2011, that has laid dormant since that time.

- LPA The objector understands that the NPPF 2012 and the Localism Act 2011 resulted in the East of England Regional Assemblies light touch approach being superseded with a new statute governing such settlements. The Communities and Local Government Planning Policy for traveller sites March 2012 makes it clear that the 'Greenbelt' should be protected. It states that any settlement must be in accordance with the LA Development Plan. Refer the Localism Act 2011 section 116 (Schedule 9-10) whereby an Independent Examiner will have the power to consider the allowing of such settlements in exceptional circumstances. The objector considers this to be such a situation.
- The LA is proposing to build on large swathes of Greenbelt within the same vicinity as Cherry Hill, Chelmsford Road, Rawreth. It will be unacceptable for the LA to deprive Mr. Smith of his settled home, on just 2 hectares of 'greenbelt' land for the reasons of protecting the 'greenbelt' whilst releasing 100's of hectares in the immediate vicinity and across the district for development. This appears to show a lack of natural justice to the Travelling Community and to the Smith family in particular. As stated the 'Cherry Hill' site could easily be considered as a part of the required 'allocation' of traveller pitches for the Rochford District.

3. Soundness

3.1 Flawed policy of a single site for the entire Rochford district

The strategy for a single site for the entire Rochford district is fundamentally flawed. RDC has not considered the social dynamics of mixing diverse gypsy and traveller groups with different habits and customs. Additionally, it is the objector's case that RDC have failed to address the many unacceptable attributes this site has for the travelling people it is required to serve and accommodate under its duty of care to persons in its environs. The requirements for travelling sites, under the NPPF, are documented below and it is the objector's case that this site fails on a number of fundamental points of the legislation.

- The statement attached, by Mr. Jack Smith the leader of this minority group, contains a powerful argument against large mixed Traveller sites as is suggested by RDC.
(appendix 6)
(appendix 7)
(appendix 8)
- Irish, English, Welsh and Scottish traveller groups are distinct and rarely mix. This means that the introduction of a single site for the entire Rochford district will result in the extreme difficulties due to tribal differences. RDC has clearly failed to conduct due diligence when putting forward the strategy of single site.
- RDC have misdirected itself in the decision to corral all the Traveller community into one area. It displays a complete lack of understanding of the social differences between some gypsy and traveller groups and is a decision that raises important questions as to the motives of the LA.

3.2 Location of proposed site GT1

- The location is on the boundary of Rochford and Basildon Borough Council, on one of the most congested and busiest junctions, in the South Essex area. It is the exit route for the A130 to Benfleet and all routes to the South East of the County. It is the exit for the Rayleigh/Rochford Area via the A1245 dual carriageway and the exit for the A130 North, which eventually connects to the A12 and all routes east of the County and beyond. It is a 24 hour hub of traffic, noise and movement.
- The proposed site is, as stated, on the junction of two major roads. It is to be located next to the planned Heavy Industrial Site NEL2, which is planned to be relocated from the Rawreth Industrial Estate, Rawreth Lane, Rayleigh policy BFR4. There are many heavy industrial processes, on site BFR4, include steel tube manufacture, car breaking processes, the transfer of refuse skip collection together with a major Waste Transfer Operation. In addition, RDC plan to relocate the western districts residents recycling depot from its present site, in Castle Road, Rayleigh, to the adjacent location.
- The whole area of NEL2 and GT1 is sited between the two roads, as stated previously, and the main London to Southend railway line to the north. It is within close proximity from the main electricity sub-station that serves the south east corner of Essex, with resulting large numbers of electricity pylons in the immediate vicinity.

Refer Government Publication: Department for Communities and Local Government May 2008. Designing Gypsy and traveller Sites - Good practice Guide.

The Policy GT1 has been developed without due diligence as it fails to meet the tests for provision of Traveller sites. Refer. Communities and Local Government Acts (March 2012) applying to same. This



requires that fair and realistic policies are employed by the decision maker, in relation to all planning proposals.

The aim of the Act, above, is to reduce tension between travellers and the settled community. All the evidence of the large number of objector's to the present proposals is that the RDC decision has failed to achieve this in a spectacular manner. See list of objection and spread sheet containing 5,067 names of Rayleigh and surrounding districts.

(please see appendix 1)

Policy A - Using evidence to plan positively and manage development

Section 6 of the Act states clearly that there should be clear evidence that:

- a) There should be dialogue and consultation with the Travelling Community and the Settled Community.

This has not effectively taken place. All the evidence points to the fact that RDC have not engaged in a meaningful manner with either the settled community or the existing traveller community that will be affected by these proposals.

Policy B - Planning for traveller sites

Requires that LA (RDC) have a Duty to co-operate with those LA of adjoining administrative boundaries.

The objection 28693 from the adjoining Borough of Basildon has been dismissed out of hand by those preparing this proposed site GT1. It is the objectors case that BDC have addressed the requirements of the Act and that RDC have failed to do so.

The additional requirements are as follows:

(10)

- a) That the LA must identify need for the site.
b) Respect the wishes of the settled community.

(11)

- a) Provide for Peaceful Integration with the settled community
b) Be able to access health facilities.
c) Have access to schools
e) Have consideration for the health and well-being of the Travellers
g) Caravans should not be sited on flood plains or places of High risk of flooding.

It is the objector view that none of the above requirements are met by proposal Policy GT1.

In that, the placing of the traveller site in the proposed location fails on account of Paragraph 1, Soundness, above.

Policy C - Sites in rural areas

- (12) The policy requires that scale of sites should not dominate the nearest settled community. The objector observes that site GT1 has no nearby settled community.

Policy D - Rural Exception Sites.

Rawreth is described as a Rural Settlement Area for planning purposes. This provision could be applied in the case of the small Traveller settlement at Cherry Hill Farm (noted previously). The policy is designed to allow the development of mixed, inclusive, communities. Refer Housing Act 1996 by SI 1997/620-25 inclusive and 1999/1307.



The objector considers this provision in the Act could allow for the site at Cherry Hill Farm to be recognised thus providing 80% of the stated required Traveller Sites for the Rochford District. This would be at virtually no cost to the Council Taxpayer. The only need might be a longer layby entrance and exit from Cherry Hill Farm. The proposed site at GT1 has been suggested to have a cost to the Taxpayer of over 2 million pounds, with ongoing associated costs. The Traveller landowner at Cherry Hill Farm could be subject to restrictions. Reference section 15 of the Act 'to protect the settled community, from expansion of the site'.

Policy E. Traveller sites in Green Belt

(14) The Act is clear that settlements in Green belt will be inappropriate development. The objector will make the observation that this policy does not clarify whether this applies to sites already in existence when the Act was introduced, such as the Cherry Hill site. If it does, then the suggestion that alternative Greenbelt sites, such as that at NEL1 (South of London Road), will be equally restricted and unsound as those sites are/were within the Greenbelt as well.

Policy F. Mixed planning use traveller sites.

(16) The act requires the LA to have regard for the safety and amenity of the occupants if of mixed use site.

The Objector will refer back to Paragraph 1. The proposal to site the GT1 fails to address this provision of the Act. The access and egress to the GT1 site will require negotiating Heavy Industrial Areas at all times. It would also be vulnerable to traffic accidents on the slip road exiting the A127 trunk road. Due to its proximity the site could not be made secure at any time.

Policy H Determining planning applications for traveller sites

(20) Determining planning permission for travellers requires adherence to the Local Development Plan.

It is the objector's case that the provisions of this policy have not been met by the proposal GT1. Whilst all the clauses are all relevant to the present objection the objector draws the inspector's attention to. Reference Local Development Plan sections 20-26. 23/24 (b)(c)(d) and 26s.

The GT1 site will not be able to be offer:

(b) Soft landscaping due to connectivity to the Heavy Industrial site (see photographs enclosed of present activity on site BFR4 Rawreth Lane)

(c) It will not be conducive to a healthy lifestyle for the travellers due to the location and the proposed processes and activities on the neighbouring site NEL2.

(d) The site will serve to isolate the Traveller Community due to the location and the necessary high walls that will be required to protect it from the industrial site proposed on the adjacent land.

The objector considers the probability of successful delivery of site GT1 as low, due to traffic concerns. Essex County Council does not support the allocation due to highway issues (see Inspectors question to RDC (17).

RDC have had many years to address this issue and have shown a lack of 'due diligence' in producing such a substantial document, as the Core Strategy and the Allocation Submission Document without having resolved this most fundamental issue. Refer- (Essex County Council objection 29023) and those previously stated that serve to substantiate this statement.



Proposed Gypsy & Traveller Accommodation

The Objector considers that, due to the above, the LPA currently have no alternative suitable sites that have been considered for the allocation of Gypsy and Travellers. This should be a 'Significant Material Consideration' in any subsequent application for 'temporary planning permission' sought by the existing Traveller Community in the District.

The decision to isolate this minority group, on an unsuitable site, in the utmost extreme border of Rochford District is open to many questions. It is worrying and may indicate signs of racial bias against the minority Traveller Community.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Linda Kendall', located in the bottom right corner of the page.

4. Appendix

Appendix 1

Localism Act 2011 Duty to hold 'Substantial Consultations when Greenbelt is being developed.

NPPF 2012, Business Section 160

NPPF Section 32 A full Traffic assessment Section 36 LA Act 'RDC should have a travel plan for developments.

List of objectors total 5062 (five thousand and sixty two)

List of those supporting 5

Residents unaware of proposals prior to July 2013 93%

Letters objecting to current plans (numbered 1 – 91)

Contrast the present quantum of objection with that of the Consultation undertaken on SHLAA 2012 2.6 just 34 representations from the whole census. Estimate of population for Rochford of 83,500.

*RDC website Information from the 2011 Census

Appendix 2

Due to time constraints the objector has not checked every page of this document for any similar errors e.g.

- Key Diagram (page 13) has omitted the proposed Industrial Area NEL2 and the proposed Traveller site GT1 this Diagram is the first that the public would see when reviewing the whole process.
- The Proposals Map page 163 site GT1 has further been obscured by the broad felt tip marker, outlining the district. Which is unfortunate and careless.

Reference. NPPF section 12 (157) Town and Country Planning Act (Local Development) (England Regulation) 2004.

Appendix 3

Traffic Management

The A129 London Road and the Rawreth Lane are heavily congested roads. They serve a broad access area, ranging from Rayleigh town centre and environs, Wickford and its environs and traffic wishing to use it as a through route to Hawkwell, Hockley and Rochford.

It is acknowledged that the A129 is a busy route with in excess of 25000 vehicles per day at the last survey in 2005. It is regularly backed up from Rayleigh High Street to Victoria Avenue and from The Carpenters Arms roundabout to Victoria Avenue traffic lights. This is especially the case during the rush hours of 7 – 9 am and 3 – 7 pm. Outside of these times traffic is described as 'heavy'

Rawreth Lane similarly carries a heavy traffic loading throughout the day and this has been exacerbated by the building of houses in this area during the past ten years., In addition, the light and heavy industrial parks together with the Makro and Asda sites mean that as well as cars and motorcycles, heavy lorries and vans use this narrow road at all times of the day.

The Plan is flawed in that no traffic management survey has been published for the area since 2005 and it is self-evident that with unfettered development occurring in Rayleigh and surrounding areas, this has had a significant impact on traffic numbers since 2005.

In order to quantify the traffic levels for both of these roads in the absence of a formal traffic census by the local authority or the county council highways department two traffic surveys were carried out. The first was by Rawreth Parish Council

The survey results are as follows:

Rawreth Lane

"Traffic Survey Thursday 25th March 2010.



Proposed Gypsy & Traveller Accommodation

Location Beeches Road, held between the hours of 7am and 7pm.

	WESTBOUND	EASTBOUND
7-9 AM	732	333
9-11 AM	250	322
11-12 PM	130	147
12- 1 PM	131	140
1-2 PM	128	150
2-3 PM	118	195
3-4 PM	120	247
4-5 PM	131	384
5-6 PM	171	595
6-7 PM	111	335
Total	2022	2848

The odd statistic from the figures show eastbound traffic is running at about 220 vehicles per hour whilst westbound is averaging at only about 170 vehicles per hour. This may be because of the congestion on Rawreth lane encourages more cars going east. There were considerable numbers of overweight vehicles mainly large transit type with double wheels or long wheelbase."

(Source Rawreth Parish Council 2013)

This makes a total of 4870 vehicles recorded over one twelve hour period.

On the assumption that 4870 is a constant and given that the road remains a busy through-route due to increased local use at weekends to access leisure facilities and the Makro and Asda superstores, a figure of 75% of the daily total is applied to the weekend.

As a result the weekly use of this road rises to 31655 vehicles on a road that is narrow, poorly maintained and congested to the point of stationary traffic daily.

London Road

In the absence of a formal survey of London Road, an informal survey was carried out in July 2013 covering a period before the end of the school year and the commencement of the school holidays. This survey was taken over a 12 hour period using an extracted sample method of quantitative research. That is, samples of short periods (set 5 minute vehicle count with random 1 minute samples to confirm accuracy of data) taken over a set twelve hour period and on four days over a weekend.

The following is a representative sample of traffic covering the rush hour / school run periods and the quieter periods during the day and in the early evening. It was taken at a fixed point and therefore cannot be complete data as the sample simply indicates the number of vehicles passing that fixed point on the two main traffic lanes. It does not include vehicles entering or exiting London Road from either of the ingress/egress points that do not pass the fixed point. Similarly, the fixed point was located facing a recessed service road for local shops. Vehicles enter and exit this location at a point equidistant from the survey point and so were not included in the survey unless they passed the survey point. This means that the data set provided is intentionally flawed due to the non-inclusion of an unknown number of vehicles having not been included and the time period being limited to 12 hours in a 24 hour day.

The data resulting therefore indicates that this is a minimum level of traffic flow for this radial feeder road. It does not take into account projected traffic flows from the new development of 101 houses at the former Eon site and any other proposed increase in traffic loading.

Traffic survey outside 122 London Road Rayleigh

19 July to 22 July 2013



Proposed Gypsy & Traveller Accommodation

Date Time	19.07	20.07	21.07	22.07	Total	av 5	av hr
7 - 7.05	84	87	63	98	332	83	996
8 - 8.05	101	103	71	106	381	95.25	1143
9 - 9.05	88	79	53	89	309	77.25	927
10-10.05	76	68	75	78	297	74.25	891
11-11.05	65	66	81	73	285	71.25	855
12-12.05	62	71	89	77	299	74.75	897
14.55-15.00	87	93	84	83	347	86.75	1041
15.55 - 16.00	91	104	76	85	356	89	1068
16.55 - 17.00	89	98	78	97	362	90.5	1086
17.55 - 18.00	103	101	63	104	371	92.75	1113
18.55 - 19.00	94	96	59	93	342	85.5	1026
							average 12hr day
							11043
							average 12 hour x 7 day week
							77301
							average 12hour day per annum
							4019652

note :

does not include traffic entering or leaving London Road that does not pass 122,

does not include any vehicles entering the slip road to local shops

does not include vehicles travelling on London Road between side streets but not passing 122.

The failure of Rochford District Council to include a Transport Risk Assessment or a Traffic Impact Statement into the Core Strategy or its subsequent documentation means that the current plan is fundamentally unsound and is wholly uninformed as to the likely impact of road safety, noise and harmful pollutants that such planning will present.

As has been identified above, the current traffic is heavy often resulting in stationary traffic along the whole of London Road (and the same at Rawreth Lane) creating a pollution problem for all residents (eg it is impossible to watch television with the windows open due to the levels of noise on London Road).

The area has a large number of elderly people and young children and the pollutants from vehicles are a significant hazard to their health and development as has been shown in a variety of studies on child development and proximity to heavy levels of road traffic and for those with bronchial and similar problems.

To add in the likely equivalent of 1600 vehicles from the proposed housing development together with the number emanating from the 101 new build properties already under construction at the Eon site, plus an unknown number of vehicles both in the form of cars, vans and heavy vehicles utilising the A1245, A129 and Rawreth Lane to access the industrial sites makes these proposals not only unsound but fundamentally dangerous to the population at large.

The lack of an environmental impact plan together with appropriate air quality surveys (Crown Hill being the closest sensor or Rawreth Industrial Estate) for an area that is in effect an environmental canyon through which a minimum of 11043 vehicles per day currently travel is quite frankly irresponsible

In addition to this, the lack of a road infrastructure plan further complicates the case for the proposed developments being sound.



Therefore it is suggested that In view of the lack of adequate transport planning alone, this plan is deeply flawed and will be extremely detrimental to the local community, who have had little or no involvement in this process.

As a result of the relocation of the recycling centre from the town, it is a 6 miles return trip from Rayleigh Town Centre, to the proposed re-cycling facility, any gains to the environment will be discounted, by the increased traffic movements to and from this remote location.

Objection 29022 Mr Roy Lewis ESSEX COUNTY COUNCIL Powerful statement stating clearly the ECC do not support the NEL2 Policy due to traffic issues.

Appendix 4

Essex County Council does not support the allocation of this site for employment on highway grounds (comment 166 of Appendix 3 consultation statement and comment 143 relating to GT1). Refer to appendix 21 regarding objection 29022.

Appendix 5

DECISION TO REFUSE (application 10/00582/COU) on appeal APP/B1550/A/11/2151221/NFW. The planning application was refuse for the Cherry Hill Farm, Traveller site, Rawreth (application 10/00582/COU) was the possibility of traffic hazards on the A1245.

Appendix 6

Refer *appendix 1*, letter No 90 from Mr Jack Smith

Appendix 7

Petition supporting Mr Jack Smith (as per above)

Appendix 8

Refer *appendix 1*, letter No 91 from Mr J Cripps with supporting statement.



Appendix No...7.....

Eleven statements supporting MR Jack Smith, Cherry Hill Farm , regarding the retention of his Traveller site at Chelmsford Road Rawreth Essex.

From a number of the residents of Rawreth Village.


L. Kendall

①

A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are asking for your support of our existing site becoming the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council in order to meet all of their overdue quota – all in one site.

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name: L. Boncar (please print).

Signature: [Signature]

Options:

Home address - 18 Redloes
.....
SS11 8SX

Or e-mail address -

Or Telephone No -

MANY THANKS – J. Smith.

[Handwritten mark]

2

A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are asking for your support of our existing site becoming the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council in order to meet all of their overdue quota – all in one site.

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name: EDWARD MARK HAMMOND..... **(please print).**

Signature: E M HAMMOND.....

Options:

Home address - 3 BEDLOES AVENUE.....
..... RAWRETH WICKFORD.....
..... ESSEX.....

Or e-mail address -

Or Telephone No -

MANY THANKS – J. Smith.

X

3

A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are asking for your support of our existing site becoming the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council in order to meet all of their overdue quota – all in one site.

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name:TONY DEAN..... (please print).

Signature:.....

Options:

**Home address -G CAMPS.....
.....RAWRETH LANE.....
.....SS11 8SN.....**

Or e-mail address -

Or Telephone No -

MANY THANKS – J. Smith.



4

A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are asking for your support of our existing site becoming the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council in order to meet all of their overdue quota – all in one site.

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name: TRACY GROVER (please print).

Signature: [Signature]

Options: Keep it how it is

Home address - 2 Laureth Lane
Laureth
Wickford

Or e-mail address -

Or Telephone No -

MANY THANKS – J. Smith.

[Signature]

5

A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are asking for your support of our existing site becoming the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council in order to meet all of their overdue quota – all in one site.

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name: P. WASHINGTON (please print).

Signature: P. Washington

Options:

Home address - 5 RAWRETH LANE
..... WICKFORD
..... ESSEX SS11 8SN

Or e-mail address -

Or Telephone No -

MANY THANKS – J. Smith.

[Handwritten mark]

6

A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth & Rayleigh.....

I ask, on behalf of my extended family, resident on the site-

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are now asking for your support of our case to become the accepted site to meet the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council....NOW!!

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name:M. COZZI.....

Home or e-mail

**Address...Highfield, Foxhall Rd.....
.....Steep, Essex.....**

Alternately a telephone contact

No.....01268 761119...

Please Sign :.....M. Cozzi.....

[Handwritten mark]

7

A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are asking for your support of our existing site becoming the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council in order to meet all of their overdue quota – all in one site.

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name: *H TENNANT* (please print).

Signature: *H Tennant*

Options:

Home address - *24 BEDFORDS AVE*
..... *RAWRETH*
..... *ESSEX*

Or e-mail address - *SS11 8SE*

Or Telephone No -

MANY THANKS – J. Smith.

JS

8

A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are asking for your support of our existing site becoming the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council in order to meet all of their overdue quota – all in one site.

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name: Julie Crocker **..... (please print).**

Signature: Julie Crocker

Options:

Home address - 23 Bealioes Ave
Rawreth
.....
.....

Or e-mail address -

Or Telephone No -

MANY THANKS – J. Smith.

[Handwritten mark]

9

A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are asking for your support of our existing site becoming the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council in order to meet all of their overdue quota – all in one site.

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name:MISS D. E. TREVETHAN..... (please print).

Signature: D.E. Trevethan.....

Options:

Home address -20. BEDHOES AVE.....
.....RAWRETH.....
.....

Or e-mail address -

Or Telephone No -

MANY THANKS – J. Smith.




A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are asking for your support of our existing site becoming the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council in order to meet all of their overdue quota – all in one site.

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name: J VANE **..... (please print).**

Signature:  **.....**

Options:

Home address - 14 BEDLOE S AVE **.....**
.....
.....

Or e-mail address -

Or Telephone No -

MANY THANKS – J. Smith.



A polite request from the Cherry Hill Farm site, to the residents of Rawreth

For the last 11 years we have peacefully co-existed with the local residents and have had no complaints – we also pay our Council Taxes like everyone else.

We are asking for your support of our existing site becoming the Government quota of Gypsy/Traveller sites in the area, and not to support the much larger / mixed type Traveller site proposed by Rochford District Council in order to meet all of their overdue quota – all in one site.

We hope you can see the benefit of limiting the size and type of these facilities , and show your support:-

Name:  ***(please print).***

Signature: 

Options:

Home address - 13 Beoko Ave
.....
..... Rawreth

Or e-mail address -

Or Telephone No -

MANY THANKS – J. Smith.