REVISED MATTER AND ISSUES FOR THE ROCHFORD CORE

STRATEGY EXAMINATION

Response on behalf of Mr Dudley Ball

Re: 2. Location and supply of new homes

Response in relation to 2 b) ii)

We raised a particular point in our Client's representations on the CS Submission

DPD which related to the MGB boundary - suggesting that there should be a review

of the boundary as part of the Core Strategy in order to establish any additional

capacity that could be provided by "rationalisations" before larger strategic sites are

released. Short of that, we advocated that the MGB boundary should be reviewed as a

whole and not piecemeal and that the CS would be unsound if this did not take place.

We now acknowledge, however, that it still remains possible for the Council to

complete a comprehensive review of the MGB boundary at the SA stage, especially as

this will define the boundaries of strategic sites whilst making any other minor

changes. We would nevertheless still like to see a commitment to this review in Policy

GB1.

We nevertheless withdraw our Client's objection insofar as this relates to the need for

a comprehensive review of the MGB boundary being undertaken prior to the

definition of strategic sites.

We maintain support for a strategic site being provided at West Hockley. We are also

generally satisfied that, in principle, the various strategic locations are capable of

being refined to identify site specific allocations - irrespective of whether one

supports those individual strategic locations or not.

**Chartered Town Planner** 

**April 2010**