

Response from Mr. R. Lixenfield

Regarding the judgement itself, I have read it several times and offer the following opinions.

1. What weight should be given to the Secretary of State's intention to abolish Regional Spatial Strategies.

I suggest that maximum weight should be given to this intention. Although, at present, it appears to be illegal until it is passed by the House, I believe that it will be pushed through as soon as possible as part of the coalition's "big community".

1. What are the implications for the Inspector's consideration of the proposed changes.

Obviously, the Inspector will be required to ensure that, wherever possible, the opinions of local residents should be sought prior to any decisions regarding the density and location of any future building of houses in the area.

I suggest that special attention should be given to increased transport routes and medical facilities to satisfy the resulting increase in population. There will be no opportunity, in the future, to lay any blame at the door of the Government!

I trust that these comments are useful.

Ray Lixenfield