



PLANNING DECISIONS September 2010

Application No : 10/00239/LBC Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : 125 Main Road Hawkwell
Proposal : Installation of Damp Control System to Conservatory, Five No. Replacement Windows.
Applicant : Mr Paul Radford

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposal would introduce inappropriate double glazed replacement window units that would detract from the appearance of the Grade II Listed building. Furthermore the application particulars have not made the case for the replacement of the existing windows as opposed to their repair. If allowed the proposal would result in the unnecessary loss of the building fabric and architectural detail to the detriment of the significance of the Listed Building.

Application No : 10/00258/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 20A Canute Close Canewdon Rochford
Proposal : Two Storey Pitched Roofed Rear Extension Incorporating Juliet Balcony.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Baxter

Application No : 10/00279/ADV Decision : **Grant Advertisement Consent**
Location : Land Adjacent 117 Southend Road Rochford
Proposal : 1.2m High Free Standing Noticeboard
Applicant : Rochford Parish Council



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 10/00324/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : Shangri La Lower Road Hockley
Proposal : Extend and Renovate Existing Buildings and Provide New Buildings to Existing Cattery, Construct Parking Area, Layout 9 Car Parking Spaces (resubmission of refused application 09/00004/FUL).
Applicant : Mr Ray Linge

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006 shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt. Within the Green Belt planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings (other than those required for agriculture or forestry and in accordance with Policies R3, R4, R8 and R9; or essential small scale facilities for outdoor sport and outdoor recreation in accordance with PPG2) or for the extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing buildings, as defined in Policies R2 and R5 of the Local Plan). Any development, which is permitted, shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

The proposal for the replacement and additional buildings for use as a cattery would constitute inappropriate development in the Metropolitan Green Belt. Furthermore, additional harm to the openness, character and appearance of the Green Belt would result from the proposal by virtue of the proposed scale, mass, design and materials for which no very special circumstances have been demonstrated which would overcome the harm to the Green Belt.

- 2 The site is also within the Coastal Protection Belt and within a Special Landscape Area, as defined in the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006, where policy NR1 seeks to ensure that development in these areas does not adversely affect the open and rural character of the area including the coastline. The proposed development is considered to be contrary to policy NR1 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006 by virtue of the scale, mass, design and materials proposed for the replacement and additional buildings.
- 3 The application lacks an arboricultural assessment with sufficient information to demonstrate that the impact that the development, which has already been part implemented, would have on the longevity of the trees within and immediately surrounding the application site.

Application No : 10/00381/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 10 Salem Walk Rayleigh
Proposal : Variation of Condition no18 Erection of 1.5m High Picket Style Fence For the First 1.0m, Stepping Down To 1.2m High On Boundary To The Front Of The Property.
Applicant : Mr Michelle Irwin



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 10/00383/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 11 Harewood Avenue Rochford
Proposal : Raise Ridge of Roof and Extend Front Roof Slope. Pitched
Roof Sections To Front Facing Roof Addition. Front
Entrance Porch. Part Single, Part Two Storey, Part First
Floor Extension At Rear.
Applicant : Mr A Amran

Application No : 10/00406/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 46 Gladstone Road Hockley
Proposal : Pitched Roofed First Floor Side And Rear Extension With
Velux And Windows To East And West Elevations
Applicant : Mr & Mrs A.H Murphy

Application No : 10/00408/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Westcliff Rugby Football Club The Gables Aviation Way
Rochford
Proposal : Install Six Floodlight Stanchions To Second Pitch
Applicant : Mr Steven Skinner

Application No : 10/00409/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 30 White Hart Lane Hockley
Proposal : Demolish Existing Bungalow And Construct Four
Bedroomed Dwellinghouse
Applicant : Mr Adrian Heritage

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposal by virtue of the mass and height of the proposed dwelling and large front dormer would result in a building which would be overly dominant and appear in stark contrast to the neighbouring properties in the street scene to the detriment of the character and appearance of the street scene and harmful to visual amenity contrary to parts (viii) and (x) of Policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Plan (2006).
- 2 The proposal by virtue of the amount of glazing provided by patio doors with Juliet balconies at both first and second floor levels in the rear elevation would create an over dominant rear elevation in contrast to the neighbouring properties which would also give rise to an unreasonable degree of potential for overlooking to neighbouring properties. This would have a harmful effect on the level of privacy and visual amenity that ought to be reasonably expected by the occupiers of the neighbouring properties contrary to part (viii) of Policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Plan (2006).



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 10/00416/FUL

Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**

Location : Little Buskins Farm Canewdon Road Rochford

Proposal : Temporary Siting Of Mobile Home For Agricultural Workers
On Small Holding

Applicant : Mr And Mrs L Bell

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt. Within the Green Belt planning permission will not be approved for inappropriate development unless very special circumstances exist that clearly outweigh the harm to the Green Belt. Policy R4 of the Local Plan 2006 details the criteria that would have to be met in order for proposals for the stationing of mobile homes for agricultural workers to be considered acceptable which reflect criteria detailed in Planning Policy Statement 7.

The proposal and information submitted with the application is not considered to demonstrate compliance with parts (i) and (iv) of Policy R4 of the Local Plan and the proposal is therefore considered to amount to inappropriate development within the Green Belt for which no very special circumstances exist that clearly outweigh the harm to the Green Belt that would result. The proposal would be contrary to Policy R4 of the Local Plan, Planning Policy Guidance 2 and Planning Policy Statement 7. If allowed on the basis of the information submitted, the development could set a precedent for allowing similar proposals which would cumulatively have a significant harmful impact on the openness and character and appearance of the Green Belt.

In addition, the proposal by virtue of the scale and form of the mobile home unit proposed which is excessive would have a significant harmful effect on the openness and character of the Green Belt.

- 2 The application site lies within Flood Zone 3 which is defined by Planning Policy Statement 25 (PPS25) as having a high probability of flooding. PPS25 classifies development types according to their vulnerability to flood risk and gives guidance on which developments are appropriate in each Flood Zone. The proposed development for the temporary siting of a mobile home for three years which would be permanently occupied within this time is a development type that is classified as 'highly vulnerable' in accordance with Table D.2 of PPS25. Paragraph D19 of PPS25 draws attention to the special risk placed upon the occupants of mobile/park-homes from flooding. The proposed development falls into a flood risk vulnerability category that is inappropriate to the Flood Zone in which the application site is located contrary to PPS 25.



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 10/00421/LDC Decision : **LDC Split Decision**
Location : Thorpe Farm Southend Road Great Wakering
Proposal : Construction Of Two Single Storey Side Extensions,
Alterations To Existing Rear Extension To Form
Conservatory, Erection Of Detached Double Garage.
Applicant : Mr Ivor Samuels

Application No : 10/00423/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 21 Princess Gardens Rochford
Proposal : Hip To Gable Conversion And Provision Of Front And Rear
Dormers And Reduction In Height Of Gabled Front
Projection
Applicant : Mr Andrew Deacon

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposed pitched roofed front dormers and flat roofed rear dormer would by reason of their size, design and fenestration result in intrusive and disproportionate additions, out of character and scale with the existing dwelling to the detriment of the character and appearance of the dwelling and the street scene and harmful to visual amenity, contrary to Policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006). In addition to this, the reduction in height of the roof area of the existing ground floor front projection would be out of keeping with the existing street scene and would adversely affect the character and appearance of this pair of semi detached properties.

Application No : 10/00424/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Thorpe Farm Southend Road Great Wakering
Proposal : Construct New Access With Recessed Gates And Walling
And Provide Access Drive Across Field To Serve Dwelling.
Applicant : Mr Ivor Samuels

Application No : 10/00425/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 21 Village Green Canewdon Rochford
Proposal : Two Storey Extension To Rear And Internal Alterations
Applicant : Mr R Tanton



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 10/00426/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 33 Broad Walk Hockley
Proposal : Extend Roof Over Garage Insert Window To Gable End ,
Provide Rooms in the Roofspace Incorporating Pitched
Roofed Dormer to Front And 2no Velux Windows on Rear
Elevation
Applicant : Mr F Dietz

Application No : 10/00440/ADV Decision : **Grant Advertisement
Consent**
Location : 78 High Street Rayleigh
Proposal : One non Illuminated Window Lightbox Merchandising Sign
and One non illuminated Cash Point Surround Sign.
Applicant : Lloyds Banking Group

Application No : 10/00441/LBC Decision : **Grant Listed Building
Consent**
Location : 78 High Street Rayleigh
Proposal : One non Illuminated Window Lightbox Merchandising Sign
and One non Illuminated Cash Point Surround Sign.
Applicant : Lloyds Banking Group

Application No : 10/00443/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 395 Eastwood Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Bungalow And Erect Two Detached Two
Storey Dwellings
Applicant : Mr S Page

Application No : 10/00444/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 29 High Elms Road Hullbridge
Proposal : extensions-raise existing roof and internal alterations
Applicant : Mr M Gerrard

Application No : 10/00445/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 74 - 78 West Street Rochford
Proposal : Single Storey Flat Roof Building To Provide Electrical
Substation In Rear Yard Area
Applicant : Gilbert Ash (NI) Ltd



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 Planning Policy Statements 1 and 3 require the development of carefully planned, high quality buildings and spaces which are appropriate in their context and which do not fail to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions.

Whilst the accommodation of a substation on the site is not objectionable in principle, the accommodation of this building to the rear of this site will result in a car parking layout that is not considered to be of a high standard of design layout; the substation would obstruct access into and out of several of the car parking spaces shown on the submitted plan and would result in bay sizes that would not meet the preferred or minimum bay size and are not considered to be of a bay size that is useable contrary to 'Parking Standards-Design and Good Practice' September 2009 and design guidance in PPS1 and PPS3.

Application No : 10/00446/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 4 Brayers Mews Rochford
Proposal : Conversion of Semi-Detached Garage To Sauna/Fitness Room.
Applicant : Mr I Glover

Application No : 10/00448/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 6 High Road Hockley
Proposal : Two Storey Side Extension.
Applicant : Mr J Pitt

Application No : 10/00449/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 68A Grasmere Avenue Hullbridge
Proposal : Conservatory To Rear.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Wilden

Application No : 10/00450/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : 32 Thorpe Road Hawkwell
Proposal : Construct Front And Side Extensions Including Integral Garage Together With Provision Of New Roof To Provide First Floor Accommodation.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Young



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The design of the dwelling, by virtue of the large central flat roof section, is considered to be a poor design, out of character in a residential area in which dwellings with pitched roofs are the norm and contrary local plan Policy HP6 and to the high quality design principles of PPS1

Application No : 10/00451/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 1A Orchard Avenue Rayleigh
Proposal : Two Storey Side Extension
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Stillabower

Application No : 10/00452/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 301 Ashingdon Road Rochford
Proposal : Extend Existing Front Dormer.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Puncher

Application No : 10/00453/FUL Decision : **Application Withdrawn**
Location : 314 Eastwood Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Bungalow and Construct New 4
Bedroomed Detached Dwelling With Integral Garage.
Applicant : Hilton Homes Ltd

Application No : 10/00460/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 25 Victor Gardens Hockley
Proposal : Roof Alterations Including Hipped Roof to Gable End Wall,
Flat Roof Rear Dormer And Pitched Roof Front Dormers.
Applicant : Mr Scott Youngson

Application No : 10/00455/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 64 Rayleigh Avenue Eastwood Leigh-on-Sea
Proposal : Construct Single Storey Side/Front Extension
Applicant : Mr R Stannard

Application No : 10/00456/COU Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Lubbards Lodge Farm Hullbridge Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Change of Use of a Farm Building to Provide Dog
Hydrotherapy Facility
Applicant : Ms Sarah Mallett



Application No : 10/00458/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 1 Chapman Court Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Detached Pitched Roofed Garage/Log Store.
Applicant : Mr P Edwards

Application No : 10/00459/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 140 Rochford Garden Way Rochford
Proposal : Demolish Existing Garage and Construct Two Storey
Pitched Roofed Side and Rear Extension, New Front
Canopy and Porch.
Applicant : Mrs Linda Barber

Application No : 10/00461/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 17 Betjeman Close Rayleigh
Proposal : Convert Garage to Habitable Space.
Applicant : Mr Andy Donohue

Application No : 10/00462/NMA Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Land Rear Of 25 Woodlands Road Hockley
Proposal : Application for a Non-Material Amendment Following
Approval at 08/00805/FUL - Subdivide Plot and Construct
One Detached Four Bedroomed House with Integral
Garage. Form Access Fronting Woodpond Avenue.
Applicant : Mr Terry Longhurst

Application No : 10/00463/TIME Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 29 Great Wheatley Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Application to Extend Time Limit for Implementation of
Previously Approved Application 07/00522/FUL Approved
On 20/07/2007 to Demolish Existing Garage, Erect Part
Single Storey Part Two Storey Side/Rear Extension With
Front Dormer Window
Applicant : Mr And Mrs R. Swann

Application No : 10/00465/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 34 Bull Lane Rayleigh
Proposal : Part Demolition Of Existing Bungalow. Raise Roof To
Create New First Floor To Form Two Storey Dwellinghouse
With Rooms In The Roof At First Floor And Erect Two
Storey Front, Side And Rear Extensions.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Lockhart



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 10/00466/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 132 The Chase Rayleigh
Proposal : First Floor Pitched Roofed Side Extension, With New
Fenestration To Side Elevation
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Sharp

Application No : 10/00467/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : Gardiners Farm Gardiners Lane Canewdon
Proposal : Construct Cart Lodge
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Churn

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The Replacement Rochford Local Plan (2006) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green belt. Within the Green Belt, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing buildings, as defined on Policies R2 and R5 of the Local Plan). Any development, which is permitted, shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

The proposed development by virtue of its significant width would amount to inappropriate development within the Metropolitan Green Belt, resulting in a detrimental effect on the openness, character and appearance of the Green Belt, contrary to Planning Policy Guidance note 2: Greens Belts. No very special circumstances have been demonstrated which would overcome the harm to the Green Belt which would result from the proposed development.

- 2 The proposed development by way of its size is considered to dominate the setting of the listed dwelling house and is felt to be harmful to the setting of the listed building.

Application No : 10/00468/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 31 Oxford Road Rochford
Proposal : Pitched Roof Front Dormer and Flat Roof Rear Dormer
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Cooper

Application No : 10/00469/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 20 The Westerings Hawkwell
Proposal : Single Storey Pitched Roofed Front Extension (Revised
Application)
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Wright



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 10/00470/LDC Decision : **Grant Lawful Development Certificate**

Location : 20 The Westerings Hawkwell
Proposal : Application for a Certificate of Lawfulness for Proposed Flat Roofed Side Dormers
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Wright

Application No : 10/00471/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**

Location : 148 Eastwood Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construction of Three Storey Dwellinghouse To Rear Of 148 And 150 Eastwood Road, Fronting White House Chase
Applicant : Mr Roy Smith

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposal by way of the design, bulk and mass of the property is considered to result in an unattractive, poor designed form, detrimental affecting the visual amenity afforded to the street scene, contrary to parts (x) Policy HP6 and part (iii) of Policy HP14 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006) and advice contained with the Essex Design Guide and Planning Policy Statement 1. Furthermore the development is considered to be an undesirable form of backland development harmful to the character and appearance of the site and surrounding area giving rise to a poor relationship with the surrounding dwellings, contrary to Policy HP14 part (ii) and part (ix) of Policy HP6 to the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006).
- 2 The submitted layout as presented in the application fails to provide satisfactory off street car parking provision to meet the requirements of future occupiers of the house proposed and as contained within Parking Standards Design and Good Practice (September 2009). The integral garage should have a minimum internal dimension of 3m width and 7m depth which the proposal fails to achieve. Furthermore the parking spaces shown are required to be a minimum width of 2.9m and a minimum depth of 5.5m and the layout fails to meet this requirement. As such it is considered that the development would lead to the provision of on-street parking to the detriment of visual amenity and the flow of traffic more generally.

Application No : 10/00473/LDC Decision : **Refuse Lawful Development Certificate**

Location : 87 Victor Gardens Hawkwell Hockley
Proposal : Application for a Certificate of Lawfulness to Retain Staircase and 3no Rooflights.
Applicant : Mr Roy Bacon



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 10/00474/TIME Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Treetops Hillview Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Application to Extend Time Limit for Implementation of
Previously Approved Application 07/01027/FUL Approved
on 05/02/2008 (Demolish Existing Dwelling and Construct
Three Detached Part Two Storey Part Three Storey Houses
with Integral Garages and Vehicular Access from Hillview
Road)
Applicant : Mr Andrew Temperton

Application No : 10/00475/COU Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission (COU)**
Location : 99 London Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Change of Use from Class A1 to Class A5
Applicant : Mr Derek Cottiss

Reason(s) for Refusal

- 1 The proposed change of use from A1 to A5 given the site's close proximity to residential properties would if permitted result in the detriment of the amenities which neighbouring residents could otherwise reasonably expect to enjoy, by virtue of an increase in noise, smells, nuisance and disturbance from callers to the site, particularly in to the late evening, in addition to an increase in on street parking and manoeuvrability problems, contrary to provisions of policy SAT 6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006).

Application No : 10/00476/TIME Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 46 Parklands Rochford
Proposal : Application to Extend Time Limit for Implementation
Following Approval at 07/00529/FUL Approved on
08/08/2007 (Construct Two Semi Detached Chalets With
Integral Garages).
Applicant : Mr George Webb

Application No : 10/00477/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 16 Westbury Rochford
Proposal : 6Construct Two Storey Pitched Roofed Side Extension.
(Revised Application)
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Nash



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 10/00479/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 239 - 241 Eastwood Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct New Vehicular Access Onto Eastwood Road
Applicant : Geoff Bray (Rayleigh) Ltd

Application No : 10/00480/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 28 Western Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Conservatory and Construct New Rear Garden Room with Roof Lantern, First Floor Juliet Balconies.
Applicant : Mr G Lawrence

Application No : 10/00481/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 65 Rayleigh Avenue Eastwood
Proposal : Single Storey Rear Extension and First Floor Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr Paul Cooper

Application No : 10/00483/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 32 Doggetts Close Rochford
Proposal : Single Storey Side Extension With Part Pitched, Part Flat Roof
Applicant : Mr Keith Frost

Application No : 10/00485/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 136 Rectory Avenue Ashingdon
Proposal : Erect Childrens Climbing Frame in Rear Garden.
Applicant : Mr Lee Rainforth

Application No : 10/00487/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 30 Rectory Road Rochford
Proposal : Two Storey Pitched Roofed Side Extension (Revised Proposal)
Applicant : Chris Blackwell

Application No : 10/00488/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 1 Jubilee Cottages Paglesham Road Paglesham
Proposal : Two Storey Rear Extension
Applicant : Miss M Baker



Application No : 10/00486/ADV Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 129 High Street Rayleigh
Proposal : New Internally Illuminated Fascia Sign to Shopfront.
Applicant : Mr Pat Laverty

Application No : 10/00490/LDC Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 239 - 241 Eastwood Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Proposed Lawful Development Certificate For Change Of
Use In Accordance With Approved Planning Application
05/00627/COU To A1/B1 On Part Of Site (Continuing
Implementation of 05/00627/COU).
Applicant : Geoff Bray (Rayleigh) Ltd

Application No : 10/00491/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 99 Main Road Hockley
Proposal : Construct New Pergola at Side Entrance, New Patio with
Post and Rope Fence to Part of Patio.
Applicant : Punch Pub Company

Application No : 10/00492/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 34A High Road Hockley
Proposal : Two Storey Hipped Roofed Side Extension.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs M Hughes

Application No : 10/00500/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 25 Eastbury Avenue Rochford
Proposal : Front Porch
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Bull

Application No : 10/00494/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Alfoxton House Hockley Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Application for Variation of Condition 3 Following Approval
at 08/00608/FUL
Applicant : Mr Morgan

Application No : 10/00495/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 64 Hawkwell Chase Hawkwell
Proposal : Demolish Existing Bungalow and Construct New Four
Bedroomed House with Detached Garage, Construct New
Vehicular Access.
Applicant : Mr C Spooner And Mrs E O'Connor



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 10/00511/NMA Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 56 Somerset Avenue Rochford
Proposal : Application for a Non-Material Amendment Following
Approval at 09/00601/FUL. (Hipped to Gable Roof
Extension Incorporating Pitched Roof Front Dormer, Flat
Roofed Rear Dormer to Create Rooms in Roofspace.) To
Substitute Approved Pitched Roofed Front Dormer with a
Flat Roofed Front Dormer.
Applicant : Mr L Bell

Application No : 10/00540/CM Decision : **Objection (County
Matter)**
Location : Barling Landfill Site Mucking Hall Road Barling Magna
Proposal : Proposed Erection of a Security Compound for the Site
Offices and Meeting Room Infrastructure, Comprising 3m
High Fencing, Low Level Lighting Columns and CCTV.
Applicant : Cory Environmental Ltd

Application No : 10/00554/NMA Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 10 Central Avenue Hullbridge
Proposal : Application for a Non-material Amendment Following
Approved Application at 09/00745/FUL
Applicant : Mr Brendan Coffey

Application No : 10/00572/FUL Decision : **Application Withdrawn**
Location : 275 Plumberow Avenue Hockley
Proposal : Demolish Existing Detached Bungalow and Garage and
Construct New Three Bedroomed Detached Bungalow and
Detached Double Garage
Applicant : Mr Mark Hawkins

