

PLANNING DECISIONS August 2009

Application No : 09/00202/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Land Opposite Junction Of Lark Hill Avenue Pudsey Hall
Lane Canewdon
Proposal : Construct Pitched Roofed Building to Provide Four Stables,
Tack and Feed Room and Sick Bay, Construct Sloped
Roofed Hay Barn, Construct Exercise Menage, Construct
Access and Hard Surfaced Area and Use Land for the
Keeping of Horses and Other Animals Such as Sheep and
Chickens.
Applicant : Mr B Noad

Application No : 09/00213/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : Greenacre Hyde Wood Lane Canewdon
Proposal : To Build Stable Block With 8 Loose Boxes, Tack Room,
Food Store and Indoor Exercise Area Using Former
Piggery Structure (Revisions to Approved Application
02/00987/FUL in Relation to Design and Siting).
Applicant : Mr J Morley

- 1 The Replacement Rochford District Local (2006) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt. Within the Green Belt, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing buildings, as defined in Policies R2 and R5 of the Local Plan), for purposes other than agriculture, mineral extraction or forestry, small scale facilities for outdoor participatory sports and recreation, cemeteries or similar uses which are open in character. Any development which is permitted shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

The proposal by way of the substantial size and footprint of the building by way of including within the design indoor exercise and access corridor combines to provide a size and height of building which in the opinion of the Local Planning Authority would not be appropriate nor small in scale and would if allowed further develop the group of existing buildings resulting in a loss of openness to the detriment of the character and appearance of this part of the Green Belt. No evidence has been presented to sufficiently justify overriding the strong presumption against inappropriate development in the Green Belt.

Application No : 09/00223/FUL

Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**

Location : Site Of 80 West Street Rochford

Proposal : Convert Existing Building into Four x Three Bedroomed
Terraced Houses Including Alterations to Form Sloped
Roofed Front and Rear Dormers (Block B)

Applicant : G.H.T Properties

- 1 The proposal, by way of the extensive alterations to the existing building to form the uncharacteristically large dormer features to the roof, in this landmark location within the centre of Rochford, would be out of character with the Rochford Conservation Area by virtue of the resultant roof being too bulky.
- 2 The proposal, by way of the limited amount of car parking to serve the development, the inadequate provision of amenity spaces and extent of built form more generally, would constitute an over-development of the site detrimental to the character and appearance of the street scene and the Rochford Conservation Area more generally.
- 3 The proposal, by way of the layout for the parking and turning of vehicles within the site, would result in insufficient car parking spaces being provided to serve the development, having no visitor or overspill provision. This, together with insufficient turning space for vehicles entering the site, would necessitate vehicles, particularly larger vehicles such as service and delivery vehicles, having to exit onto a busy road in reverse gear or cause obstruction by waiting on the adjoining highway, which is part of the main distributor network through Rochford.
- 4 The proposal fails to provide sufficient access into the site for refuse vehicles, together with insufficient storage space for refuse bins. If allowed, the proposal would result in refuse vehicles having to wait on the adjoining highway to the detriment of the free flow of traffic on this main distributor route.
- 5 The limited rear garden areas proposed to two of the dwellings proposed would fail to meet the Council's minimum standard for three bedroomed terraced dwellings and which should be a minimum of 50 square metres. If allowed, the development would result in inadequate useable amenity space being provided to serve the future occupiers of the building to provide limited outdoor recreation, outdoor storage and drying to serve the future occupiers of the houses proposed and proving detrimental to the amenity those future residents ought reasonably expect to enjoy.

Application No : 09/00235/FUL

Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**

Location : 80 West Street Rochford

Proposal : Construct Two Storey Pitched Roofed Building Comprising
Two x Two Bedroomed Self Contained Flats (Block C) At
Front of Site

Applicant : G H T Properties

- 1 The proposal, by way of the overall bland and undistinguished design and appearance of the building to the site frontage, in this landmark location within the centre of Rochford, would prove out of character with the Rochford Conservation Area.
- 2 The proposal, by way of the limited amount of car parking to serve the development, the inadequate provision of amenity spaces and extent of built form more generally, would constitute an over-development of the site detrimental to the character and appearance of the street scene and the Rochford Conservation Area more generally.
- 3 The proposal, by way of the layout for the parking and turning of vehicles within the site, would result in insufficient car parking spaces being provided to serve the development, having no visitor or overspill provision. This, together with insufficient turning space for vehicles entering the site, would necessitate vehicles, particularly larger vehicles such as service and delivery vehicles, having to exit onto a busy road in reverse gear or cause obstruction by waiting on the adjoining highway, which is part of the main distributor network through Rochford.
- 4 The proposal fails to provide sufficient access into the site for refuse vehicles, together with insufficient storage space for refuse bins. If allowed, the proposal would result in refuse vehicles having to wait on the adjoining highway to the detriment of the free flow of traffic on this main distributor route.
- 5 The proposal, by way of the fragmented space and narrow width of amenity space to the west of the building, would result in inadequate useable amenity space short of the Council's minimum standard being provided to serve the future occupiers of the building. If allowed, there would be insufficient space to provide limited outdoor recreation, outdoor storage and drying to serve the future occupiers of the flats proposed and proving detrimental to the amenity those future residents ought reasonably expect to enjoy.

Application No : 09/00236/FUL

Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**

Location : 80 West Street Rochford

Proposal : Construct Two Storey Pitched Roofed Building Comprising
Two x One Bedroomed Self Contained Flats at Rear of Site
(Block A)

Applicant : G H T Properties

- 1 The proposed development, by way of the siting, overall design and appearance of the building proposed in this landmark location within the centre of Rochford, would prove out of character with the Rochford Conservation Area by virtue of its size and overall design and would constitute an unacceptable backland development within the site.
- 2 The proposal, by way of the limited amount of car parking to serve the development, the inadequate provision of amenity space and extent of built form more generally, would constitute an over-development of the site detrimental to the character and appearance of the Rochford Conservation Area.
- 3 The proposal, by way of the layout for the parking and turning of vehicles within the site, would result in insufficient car parking spaces being provided to serve the development, having no visitor or overspill provision. This, together with insufficient turning space for vehicles entering the site, would necessitate vehicles, particularly larger vehicles such as service and delivery vehicles, having to exit onto a busy road in reverse gear or cause obstruction by waiting on the adjoining highway, which is part of the main distributor network through Rochford.
- 4 The proposal fails to provide sufficient access into the site for refuse vehicles, together with insufficient storage space for refuse bins. If allowed, the proposal would result in refuse vehicles having to wait on the adjoining highway to the detriment of the free flow of traffic on this main distributor route.
- 5 The proposal fails to provide sufficient private amenity space to serve the future occupiers of the building. If allowed, there would be insufficient space to provide limited outdoor recreation, outdoor storage and drying to serve the future occupiers of the flats proposed and proving detrimental to the amenity those future residents ought reasonably expect to enjoy.

Application No : 09/00245/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
 Location : 29 Spencer Gardens Rochford
 Proposal : Single Storey Pitched Roofed Rear Extension.
 Applicant : Mr I Clarke

Application No : 09/00252/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
 Location : The Grange Murrels Lane Hockley
 Proposal : Two Storey Pitched Roofed Side Extension to Approved
Dwelling
 Applicant : Mr & Mrs Mitchell

- 1 The Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006 shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt. Within the Green Belt planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing dwellings, as defined in Policies R2 and R5 of the Local Plan).

The proposal by way of the extensive further extension of the replacement dwelling as proposed would result in a disproportionate addition over and above the size of the original dwelling replaced on the site by reason of its bulk and scale which would impact significantly on the openness of the Green Belt countryside hereabouts. It would also by way of its size and internal layout be tantamount to the formation of an independent dwelling contrary to part (iv) to Policy R5 to the Council's adopted Local Plan as saved by as saved by Direction of the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government in exercise of the power conferred by paragraph 1(3) of schedule 8 to the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

Application No : 09/00269/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : Land Adjoining Oaklands Bullwood Approach Hockley
Proposal : Demolish Existing Wooden Building and Erect New Log
Cabin. Form New Vehicular Access and Parking for One
Vehicle.
Applicant : Paula Rowntree

- 1 The application was not accompanied by any arboricultural assessment, the lack of which means that the Local Planning Authority cannot adequately assess the impact that the building and parking area would have on the longevity of the trees on the application site, which are subject to a area Tree Preservation Order TPO/0006/87. Such an assessment should be in accordance with BS5837.

Application No : 09/00287/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 37 Wyburns Avenue Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Single Storey Front Extension.
Applicant : Mr Andrew Marshall

Application No : 09/00288/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 5 Russell Grove Rochford
Proposal : Revised Application for Single Storey Flat Roofed Rear
Extension
Applicant : Mr And Mrs D.J. Stone

Application No : 09/00292/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 24 Hillcrest Road Hockley
Proposal : Demolish Conservatory and Construct Single Storey
Pitched Roofed Rear Extension, Convert Garage to
Habitable Accommodation Incorporating Bay Window, and
Detached Pitched Roofed Garage to Front.
Applicant : Mr Daren Capel

Application No : 09/00293/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 17 Westbourne Close Hockley
Proposal : Form Rooms in the Roofspace Incorporating Flat Roofed
Front and Rear Dormers, Single Storey Flat Roofed Rear
Extension and Demolish Existing Garage and Construct
Detached Pitched Roofed Garage.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Usher

Application No : 09/00297/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 9 Meadow Way Hockley
Proposal : Replace Existing Garden Shed With Workshop/Studio for
Use as Private Personal Training and Exercise Facility.
Applicant : Mr Marc Ramis

- 1 The proposed development would be likely to affect the amenities presently enjoyed by the existing occupiers of neighbouring residential properties by reason of a potential for noise and general disturbance at and within the vicinity of the site in this slightly built up residential area.

Application No : 09/00300/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 48 Victoria Avenue Rayleigh
Proposal : Pitched Roofed Side Extension and Rooms in Roofspace to
Resulting Roof Area Incorporating Three Pitched Roofed
Front Dormers and Rooflight and Three Rooflights to Rear
Applicant : Mr Garside

Application No : 09/00303/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 138 Down Hall Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Dwelling And Construct Two Storey
Building Containing 4 No. One Bedroomed with Car
Parking to Rear.
Applicant : Mr Orme

- 1 The proposal fails to provide sufficient private amenity space to serve the needs of the future occupiers of the development. If allowed the proposed development would lack sufficient space to provide outdoor recreation, outside drying and outside storage for future residents of the development, detrimental to the expectations those residents ought reasonably expect to enjoy and creating additional pressure upon that space made available. As such the proposal would be contrary to part (v) to Policy HP6 to the Councils adopted Local Plan (2006) and supplementary Planning Document 2 Housing Design (January 2007).

Application No : 09/00305/FUL

Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**

Location : 206 London Road Rayleigh

Proposal : Demolish Existing Dwelling and Construct Residential
Development Comprising 10 No. Three Bedroomed and 4
No. Four Bedroomed 2/3 Storey Houses (14 Units in Total)
Form New Access, Estate Road, Garaging and Parking
Areas.

Applicant : Weston Homes Plc

- 1 The proposal by way of the close proximity of the dwellings proposed on plots 1 - 4 inclusive to the preserved Oak trees would give rise to poor day light levels entering these properties due to the presence of preserved trees the subject of Tree Preservation Order 13 /87. Furthermore, there is likely to be tree debris, leaf and fruit dispersal covering the front amenity areas such that the end use space will be compromised. If permission is granted it is anticipated that there will be significant pressure to remove or reduce these preserved mature Oak trees proving detrimental to the leafy character and appearance of the area and site locality.
- 2 The development would result in the loss of preserved Oak, Silver Birch, Hazel and Bay trees the subject of tree preservation order 36/09 and fails to accommodate within the development the amenity afforded by those preserved trees. Furthermore, the submitted arboricultural information fails to consider the affect of the development upon those preserved trees and any mitigation that may be required. If allowed the proposal would result in the loss of these preserved trees to the detriment of the leafy character and appearance of the area and site locality.
- 3 The revised ecological study proposes suitable mitigation measures and a suitable protected area to form a habitat for the slow worms on the site during construction; however this area would form part of the domestic gardens for proposed dwellings on the site once construction is complete. Used as domestic garden the area of land would provide an unprotected and unsuitable habitat for slow worms. Neither this area of the site nor the retention of the existing peripheral hedgerows is considered to provide a suitable long term habitat for slow worms. Consequently, if allowed, the proposed development of the site is likely to have a detrimental impact on the protected slow worm population at the site.
- 4 The garden areas of the three bedroom properties that are the subject of the application fall below the provision set out in the Council's Supplementary Planning Document 2 Housing Design (100 square metres).

Application No : 09/00306/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : 87 Victor Gardens Hawkwell
Proposal : Removal of Condition 4 from Planning Approval, Reference 03/00055/FUL
Applicant : Mr Roy Bacon

- 1 The Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006 shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt and the proposal, if allowed, would lead to a disproportionate addition in habitable floorspace to the original dwelling that it replaced. Local Plan Policy R6, in accordance with national policy guidance, permits the replacement of Green Belt properties providing that the total size of the new dwelling is no greater than 35 square metres in floor area above the size of the habitable floor space of the original dwelling as existing on 1st July 1948 or as built after that date. The permission granted by 03/00055/FUL included an additional 35 square metres in ground floor area and any subsequent increase in habitable floor space would be a disproportionate, and by definition inappropriate, development harmful to the Metropolitan Green Belt.

Application No : 09/00314/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 12 Brook Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Application to Vary Condition No.2 of Approval Reference 06/00682/COU in Relation to Opening Hours of the Veterinary Practice. Revise Opening to 24 Hours a Day.
Applicant : Pet Vaccination Clinic

Application No : 09/00319/LDC Decision : **LDC Split Decision**
Location : 351 Plumberow Avenue Hockley
Proposal : Application for a Certificate of Lawfulness for Proposed Single Storey Side and Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr K Dolman

Application No : 09/00321/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 39 Hawkwell Park Drive Hawkwell
Proposal : Two Storey Rear Extension and New First Floor and Pitched Roof to Convert Existing Bungalow into Detached Four Bedroomed House
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Kyle

Application No : 09/00324/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 8 Victoria Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Single Storey Rear Conservatory
Applicant : Mrs Nicola Wyatt

Application No : 09/00325/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 12 Ridgeway Rayleigh
Proposal : Hip to Gable Roof Extension, Form Rooms in Roofspace
With Flat Roofed Rear Dormer Featuring French Doors.
Construct Part Two Storey, Part Single Storey Rear
Extension. (Revised Application)
Applicant : Mr Paul Keeble

Application No : 09/00326/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : Land Rear Of 85 - 93 The Drive Hullbridge
Proposal : Demolish Existing Outbuildings and Subdivide Rear Garden
Areas to Provide 2 No. Detached Three Bedroomed
Bungalows, Form New Access Serving New Dwellings off
The Drive and New Vehicular Crossing for No. 91 The
Drive.
Applicant : Mr K Schofield

- 1 The proposal would result in an unacceptable form of backland development that would, by way of introducing the development proposed into the relatively spacious and undeveloped setting of the existing back garden areas between dwellings fronting The Drive and dwellings fronting Grasmere Avenue, result in an unwelcome and incongruous development, failing to integrate well into the local context in conflict with parts (ii) and (iii) to Policy HP14 to the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006), as saved by Direction of the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government.

Application No : 09/00322/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 38 Wellington Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Two Storey Side and Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr Andrew Mallett

Application No : 09/00328/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 11 Dalys Road Rochford
Proposal : Construct Pitched Roof Over Existing Flat Roof to Rear.
Applicant : Mr Mark Daly

Application No : 09/00329/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 21 Mount Crescent Hockley
Proposal : Hip to Gable Roof Extension.
Applicant : Mrs Carol Foster

Application No : 09/00331/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 20 The Hylands Hockley
Proposal : Demolish Existing Garage and Construct Single Storey
Side and Rear Extension.
Applicant : Mr John Morley

Application No : 09/00332/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 67 London Hill Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Single Storey Side and Rear Extensions. Form
Vehicular Crossover and Hardstanding.
Applicant : Mr Darren Jones

Application No : 09/00333/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 5 Harewood Avenue Rochford
Proposal : Pitched Roofed Walk In Bay Window Front Extension.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Nash

Application No : 09/00335/ADV Decision : **Refuse Advertisement
Consent**
Location : 22 - 24 High Street Rayleigh
Proposal : Replace Existing Signage With 2 No. Non-Illuminated
Fascia Signs.
Applicant : Miss Rebecca Spedding

- 1 The proposal by way of the design; the inappropriate use of vinyl applied lettering, the patterned matt finish of the background and lack of fascia frame in a prominent location would have a detrimental effect upon the amenity of the area and fail to enhance the character and appearance and appearance of the Rayleigh Conservation Area contrary to policy BC1 and SAT10.

Application No : 09/00342/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 3 Belchamps Way Hawkwell
Proposal : Part-Hip to Gable Roof Extension and Form Rooms in
Roofspace Incorporating 2 No. Pitched Roofed Front
Dormers and Flat Roofed Rear Dormer.
Applicant : Mr Machin

Application No : 09/00336/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 6 Kendal Close Hullbridge
Proposal : Flat Roofed Dormers to Front and Rear to Form Rooms in
Roofspace
Applicant : Miss D Thresher

Application No : 09/00338/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 109 New Road Great Wakering
Proposal : Construct Vehicular Crossover
Applicant : Mr Ray Franklin

Application No : 09/00339/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 553 Ashingdon Road Ashingdon
Proposal : Construct Detached Pitched Roofed Garage to Front
Applicant : Mr Stuart Rolph

Application No : 09/00340/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 71 Keswick Avenue Hullbridge
Proposal : Form Rooms in Roofspace Incorporating Flat Roofed Front
and Rear Dormers, Construct Single Storey Side and Rear
Extensions to Form Kitchen, Utility Room, Conservatory
and Enclosed Pool .
Applicant : Mrs Christina Burns

Application No : 09/00346/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 24 Victory Lane Ashingdon
Proposal : Construct Pitched Roofed Rear Conservatory
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Reynolds

Application No : 09/00350/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 348 Ashingdon Road Rochford
Proposal : Raise Roof and Form Rooms in Roofspace Incorporating 2
No. Pitched Roofed Front Dormers, Flat Roofed Rear
Dormer and Rooflight to Rear.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs M Tracy

Application No : 09/00351/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 8 Trinity Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Convert Existing Integral Garage Into Habitable Room.
Applicant : Mr A Stammers

Application No : 09/00355/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Poplar Ward Rochford Hospital Union Lane Rochford
Proposal : Provision of New Window to First Floor
Applicant : South Essex Partnership NHS Trust

Application No : 09/00354/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 119 High Street Rayleigh
Proposal : Install 1.1m High Galvanised Steel Protection Rails and 2
No. Access Ladders to Roof of Building.
Applicant : Essex Police

Application No : 09/00357/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 42A Crown Hill Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Two Storey Side Extension With Pitched Roofed
Dormer to Rear and Rooflights to Front and Rear.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs S Rees

Application No : 09/00359/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Gatehouse 2 Rochford Lofts 20 Pollards Close Rochford
Proposal : Part Conversion of Existing Integral Garage to Habitable
Room
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Andrew & Nicole Margetts

Application No : 09/00360/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 14 Willow Walk Hockley
Proposal : Construct Detached Pitched Roofed Garage
Applicant : Mr Ian Clements

Application No : 09/00361/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Whitbreds Bullwood Hall Lane Hockley
Proposal : Provide Above Ground Swimming Pool to Rear of Dwelling.
Applicant : Mr Steven Newland

Application No : 09/00362/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 11A Broadlands Avenue Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Pitched Roofed Front Dormer and Flat Roofed
Rear Dormer to Form Rooms in Roofspace With Rooflight
to Front and First Floor Window to Side.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Arican

Application No : 09/00363/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 88 Clarence Road Rayleigh
Proposal : First Floor Pitched Roofed Side Extension
Applicant : Mr Robert Morton

Application No : 09/00365/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 288 Little Wakering Road Great Wakering
Proposal : Construct Vehicular Crossover to Serve Nos. 288 & 290
Little Wakering Road.
Applicant : Mrs Judith Dunmore/Mrs Claire Whiting

Application No : 09/00366/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 81 High Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Two Storey Front and Rear Extensions with Integral
Garage and Raise and Construct New Roof to Provide First
Floor Accommodation to Convert Existing Bungalow to Five
Bedroomed House.
Applicant : Mr Lee Muchmore

- 1 The proposal is not in accordance with Local Plan Policy that requires first floor extensions not to project beyond a horizontal angle greater than 45° with the nearest habitable room window of any adjacent property. If permitted, it would have a dominant visual impact on adjacent properties, in particular 85 High Road, by being prominently located behind the rear building line of the neighbouring properties and accordingly have a detrimental impact on the amenities enjoyed by the occupiers of neighbouring properties.

Application No : 09/00368/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Avalon Hall Road Rochford
Proposal : Retrospective Application to Make Alterations to Existing
Elevations of Detached Outbuilding, Remove Existing
Doors and Add Door and Windows to Front Elevation and
Replace Window With French Doors to Side Elevation.
Building to be Used as Annexe to Main Dwelling.
Applicant : Mr Gary Reynolds

Application No : 09/00369/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 40 Spa Road Hockley
Proposal : Single Storey Flat Roofed Side Extension to Form Integral
Service Area and New A2 Unit Together With Creation of
New Vehicle Crossover.
Applicant : Mr Carl & Marc Cantor

Application No : 09/00370/ADV Decision : **Grant Advertisement
Consent**
Location : 40 Spa Road Hockley
Proposal : Install 1 No. Externally Illuminated Fascia Sign and 1 No.
Internally Illuminated Projecting Sign.
Applicant : Mr Carl And Marc Cantor

Application No : 09/00373/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Land Opposite 2 Barling Road Great Wakering
Proposal : Form New Vehicular Access to Park Nursery
Applicant : Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Application No : 09/00395/PD Decision : **No Objection Permitted
Development**
Location : London Southend Airport Co Ltd Southend Airport Rochford
Proposal : Proposed Two Overhead Line Gantries to Rail Lines.
Applicant : London Southend Airport