

PLANNING DECISIONS – APRIL 2009

Application No : 08/00005/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 12 Brook Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct 4.8m Wide Vehicular Access and Crossing on
Land with Bridge to Water Course to Access Car Park.
Applicant : Able Aid

Application No : 08/00788/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 7 Imperial Park Rawreth Lane Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Temporary Storage Building
Applicant : Eagle Structural Glazing

Application No : 08/00813/FUL Decision : **Application Withdrawn**
Location : 56 Lesney Gardens Rochford
Proposal : Convert Existing Garage to Kitchen.
Applicant : Mr Steven Haydon

Application No : 08/00866/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 196 Eastwood Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Two Storey Pitched Roofed Front and Rear
Extensions in Conjunction With Roof Alterations to Raise
the Ridge, Erect a Central Cupola and Change the
Orientation of the Roofline Together With Single Storey
Rear Addition.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Charlesworth

Application No : 08/00871/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 3 Hooley Drive Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Semi Detached Bungalow and Construct
Detached Three Bedroomed Bungalow
Applicant : Mrs Carrol Hannan

- 1 The Replacement Rochford Local Plan (2006) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green belt and the proposal is considered to be contrary to policy R1 and R6 of the Local Plan. Within the Green Belt, as defined in these policies, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings, rebuild of dwellings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing buildings, as defined on Policies R2 and R5 of the Local Plan). Any development, which is permitted, shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

The proposal by way of the relocation of the dwelling much further forward within its plot is considered to result in a substantial change in the appearance and character of the plot whilst also affecting the character of this part of the Metropolitan Green Belt. Furthermore the relocation of this dwelling is considered to impact detrimentally and unreasonably upon the amenities that the occupiers of no. 4 Hooley Drive ought reasonably expect to enjoy. The application is therefore considered contrary to Policy R6 part (iv) the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006).

Application No : 08/00946/FUL Decision : **Application Withdrawn**
Location : 45 Uplands Park Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Convert Garage to Habitable Room
Applicant : Mr Carl O'Boyle

Application No : 08/00957/FUL Decision : **Application Withdrawn**
Location : Land Rear Of 172 Rawreth Lane Rayleigh
Proposal : Subdivide Plot and Construct Detached One Bedroomed Bungalow
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Sargeant

Application No : 08/00959/COU Decision : **Application Withdrawn**
Location : 89 Eastwood Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Change of Use to Provide Use Class A5: Use for the Sale of Hot Food for Consumption off the Premises. Provide New Shop Front and Access Ramp and Flume Extract Duct.
Applicant : Domino Pizza Group Ltd



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 09/00022/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 12 Ridgeway Rayleigh
Proposal : Hip to Gable Roof Extension, Form Rooms in Roofspace
With Flat Roofed Rear Dormer Featuring French Doors.
Construct Part Two Storey, Part Single Storey Rear
Extension.
Applicant : Mr Paul Keeble

Application No : 09/00024/COU Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission (COU)**
Location : 33A Eastwood Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Change of Use from Involving Internal Alterations and
Alteration to First Floor Rear Elevation Fenestration Pattern
to Provide 9 No. One Bedroomed Flats and 3 No. Two
Bedroomed Flats.
Applicant : B.G.W. P.F

- 1 There is no parking provision for the residential development proposed contrary to TP8 resulting in increased pressure for parking within the site where space is limited thereby leading to possible congestion and conflict with existing users and vehicle movements at the access onto Websters Way and displacement of vehicles onto the highway to the detriment of highway safety. In addition it may well lead to vehicles being parked within the public car parks which would reduce the available space for shoppers and others in the town centre and potentially lead to displaced parking onto the highway network causing obstruction to other road users to the detriment of general highway safety.

Application No : 09/00026/ADV Decision : **Grant Advertisement
Consent**
Location : 146 High Street Rayleigh
Proposal : Internally Illuminated Cross, Non-Illuminated Cut Out
Lettering and Internally Illuminated Cabinet.
Applicant : Mr Jeff Parker (Salvation Army)

Application No : 09/00027/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : Rockhaven London Road Rawreth
Proposal : Single Storey Rear Extension and New Roof Over
Resulting Dwelling as Extended. Remove Existing
Accommodation in Roof and Use New Roof Void as Loft
Space.
Applicant : Miss Carla Fendt



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

- 1 The Replacement Rochford Local Plan (2006) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green belt and the proposal is considered to be contrary to policy R1 and R5 of the Local Plan. Within the Green Belt, as defined in this policies, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing buildings, as defined on Policies R2 and R5 of the Local Plan). Any development, which is permitted, shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

The proposal by way of the substantial increase in height and bulk of the roof and further potential for habitable floor space within the resulting roof, together with the significant excess of 35m² of additional habitable floor space over and above that of the original dwelling; is considered excessive rather than reasonable contrary to the policy and resulting in a substantial change in the appearance and character of the property whilst also affecting the character and openness of this part of the Metropolitan Green Belt.

Application No : 09/00031/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 137 Lower Road Hullbridge
Proposal : Single Storey Flat Roofed Rear Extension and Loft
Conversion incorporating Flat Roofed Rear Dormer and
Pitched Roofed Front Dormer
Applicant : Mr Graham Bevis

Application No : 09/00032/OUT Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : Site Of 9 And 11 Bull Lane Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Bungalows and Construct Part Two
Storey, Part Three Storey Building Comprising 5 No. One
Bedroomed Flats and 14 No. Two Bedroomed Flats With
Access Onto Highfield Crescent and Associated Parking
and Amenity Areas.
Applicant : Sandhurst New Homes Ltd.



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

- 1 The proposed building is considered to be out of scale and an over-development of the site at a density considerably higher than the neighbouring residential area. This would result in a form of development, bulk and massing to the frontage of Bull Lane and Highfield Crescent, incompatible with the prevailing modest domestic scale such that it would be out of character and harmful to the amenity of the area contrary to HP11 (iii) of the Replacement Local Plan. Furthermore the excessive depth and flat roofed elements are considered to give rise to a poorly designed and proportioned building, that would be intrusive and out of character with the site and surrounding area.
- 2 The layout of the development is dominated at the rear by the car parking area which extends close to the site boundaries, resulting in a cramped form of development contrary to HP11 (iv) of the Replacement Local Plan. Furthermore there is under provision for parking for the residential development proposed contrary to Policy TP8 of the Local Plan which requires generally the maximum standard to be met.
- 3 There is an under-provision and fragmentation of on site amenity space reducing its functionality. This results in a cramped form of development contrary to HP11 (iv) of the Replacement Local Plan.
- 4 The proposal and the details accompanying the application fail to make formal provision for affordable housing contrary to the advice contained at paragraph 29 to Planning Policy Statement 3 Housing (2006) and Policy HP8 to the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006).

Application No : 09/00040/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
 Location : 27 Barling Road Great Wakering
 Proposal : Construct First Floor Pitched Roofed Side Extension and
 Ground Floor Flat Roofed Front Extension to Existing
 Garage.
 Applicant : Mr Andrew Horton

Application No : 09/00042/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
 Location : 388 Little Wakering Road Barling Magna
 Proposal : Single Storey Pitched Roofed Rear Extension.
 Applicant : Mr Simon Ward

Application No : 09/00044/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
 Permission**
 Location : Greenfields Rosilian Drive Hullbridge
 Proposal : Construct and Raise New Roof and Extension to Existing
 Garage and Change Use and Make Alterations to Form
 Retirement Dwelling, Demolish Pole Barn.
 Applicant : Mr G V Lucy



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

1 The Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006 shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt and the proposal is considered to be contrary to Policy R1 and R9 of the Local Plan. Within the Green Belt, as defined in these policies, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing buildings, as defined in Policies R2 and R5 of the Local Plan), for purposes other than agriculture, mineral extraction or forestry, small scale facilities for outdoor participatory sports and recreation, cemeteries or similar uses which are open in character. Any development which is permitted shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

The proposal for the development of this site does not fall into any of the above categories and it is the opinion of the Local Planning Authority that no evidence has been presented to sufficiently justify overriding the strong presumptions against the construction of new dwellings in the Green Belt.

The proposal would fail part (ii) to Policy R9 in that the pole barn is not capable of the conversion without substantial reconstruction and in fact is demolished in part to make way for an extension to the garage to achieve sufficient size in order that the garage can be converted as proposed.

The proposal would fail parts (iii) and (iv) to Policy R9 in that the garage would be extended to form the bedroom and that the building would be increased in height with a new roof higher by some 300mm than the existing building.

The proposal would fail part (vi) to Policy R9 in that the resultant annex type dwelling would further establish an additional household and residential activity on the site that has not been justified in agricultural terms and would give rise to the further urbanisation of this part of the Green Belt.

The proposal would fail part (vii) to Policy R9 in that the applicant has failed to demonstrate a reasonable attempt to secure an alternative business re-use of the building during the last two years prior to the current application.

The proposal would therefore result in the increase in built form on the site and the further piecemeal urbanisation of the site detrimental to the open character and appearance of that part of the Metropolitan Green Belt in which the site is situated.



Application No : 09/00045/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 429 Ashingdon Road Rochford
Proposal : Demolish Conservatory and Construct Single Storey Flat
Roofed Rear Extension. Convert Garage and Construct
Pitched Roofed Single Storey Side/Front Extension to Form
Garage.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Dean

Application No : 09/00046/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 6 Exmouth Drive Rayleigh
Proposal : Form Rooms in Roofspace Incorporating Flat Roofed
Dormers to Front and Rear.
Applicant : Mr Daniel Keppel

Application No : 09/00047/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 36 The Approach Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Building and Construct Two Storey
Building Comprising Six, One Bedroomed Flats with
Parking and Amenity Areas to Rear
Applicant : Mr P Shelts

- 1 The proposal by way of the first floor side bedroom window to the west facing rear projection would result in an unreasonable loss of privacy to the directly opposing windows to the flank elevation of the neighbouring dwelling No. 38 The Approach. If allowed the proposal would give rise to a loss of privacy detrimental to the amenity the adjoining occupiers of the site ought reasonably expect to enjoy.
- 2 The proposal would represent an over-development of the site resulting in a building of too great a footprint and too many units for the unusually shaped site. In particular, it would result in a limited usability of the proposed amenity area by way of the skewing and narrowing rear site boundary alignment for the resulting amenity area and close proximity to adjoining parking areas and ground floor flat windows. If allowed, the proposed layout would provide an amenity area that, whilst ordinarily of sufficient size, in this case would have limitations caused by disturbance from the car parking area immediately adjoining and loss of privacy and limitations in the remaining area as a result of the narrowing site depth. This would provide inadequate usable space to serve the number of households it would be intended to support and would prove detrimental to the amenity future residents of the development proposed ought reasonably expect to enjoy.



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 09/00048/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Asda Priory Chase Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Wall to Enclose Service Area Beneath Service
Area Canopy
Applicant : Asda Estates Dept

Application No : 09/00049/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 5 Ashingdon Heights Rochford
Proposal : Form Rooms in the Roofspace Incorporating Front and
Side Flat Roofed Dormers and Rooflights to Rear
Applicant : Mr Phillip Cannell

Application No : 09/00050/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 49 Downhall Park Way Rayleigh
Proposal : Two Storey Pitched Roofed Front Extension and Single
Storey Pitched Roofed Side Extension
Applicant : Mr Simon Bailey

Application No : 09/00055/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Rosedale Gladstone Gardens Rayleigh
Proposal : Conversion of Existing Carport to Garage
Applicant : Mrs Karen Playle

Application No : 09/00057/COU Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : The Lawn Cemetery Hall Road Rochford
Proposal : Change of Use of Land from Agricultural to Cemetery
Applicant : Rochford District Council

Application No : 09/00058/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 8 Crown Gardens Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Detached Garage and Construct Part Two
Storey, Part Single Storey Side/Rear Extension and Single
Storey Side Extension.
Applicant : Mr Stuart Kent

Application No : 09/00060/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 25 Harrow Gardens Hawkwell
Proposal : Enlarge Existing Rear Dormer and Construct Double
Pitched Roofed Dormer to Front.
Applicant : Mr Cotgrove



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 09/00061/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 70 Hamilton Gardens Hockley
Proposal : Hip to Gable Roof Extension With Flat Roofed Dormer to Front. Extend Existing Rear Dormer and Insert Roof Light.
Applicant : Mrs Seymour

Application No : 09/00062/LDC Decision : **LDC Part Permitted
Part Refused**
Location : 275 Plumberow Avenue Hockley
Proposal : Application for a Certificate Of Lawfulness for Proposed Single Storey Flat Roofed Side and Rear Extensions and Single Storey Part Pitched Roofed and Part Flat Roofed Side Extension.
Applicant : Mr M Hawkins

Application No : 09/00064/LBC Decision : **Grant Listed Building Consent**
Location : Barrow Hall Farmhouse Barrow Hall Road Little Wakering
Proposal : Replacement of Existing First Floor Windows to Front and Rear Elevations. Remedial Work to Overhaul Roof Including Stripping and Relaying Existing Tiles and New Battens and Membrane. 5 No. Replacement Chimney Pots.
Applicant : Mr Michael Dworkin

Application No : 09/00066/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : High Acre Central Avenue Hullbridge
Proposal : Application to Delete Condition 1 to the Grant of Permission Under Appeal Reference T/APP/C/B1550/612972/P6 and to Allow Continued and Unrestricted Use of the Mobile Home on the Site for Residential Purposes.
Applicant : Mr Edward Smith

Application No : 09/00067/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 151 Daws Heath Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct 4 Detached Houses (1x 4 Bed and 3x 4/5 Bed With Rooms in Roof) With New Access From Daws Heath Road and White House Chase (Amended Application Following 07/00997/FUL With Revised Fenestration Details and Revised Access to Plots 2 and 4).
Applicant : Pannell Developments



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 09/00069/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 23 Malvern Road Hockley
Proposal : Convert Existing Integral Garage to Study and Construct
Pitched Roof Over Existing Flat Roof.
Applicant : Mr Diver

Application No : 09/00070/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 5 Connaught Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Single Storey Side and Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Cooper

Application No : 09/00072/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 37 Golden Cross Road Rochford
Proposal : Flat Roofed Front Dormer
Applicant : Mr L Jeary

Application No : 09/00074/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 15 Windsor Mews Hilltop Close Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Disabled Access Ramp to Communal Entrance.
Applicant : Mr Peter Christian-Lau (Springboard Housing Association)

Application No : 09/00075/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 100 Langham Drive Rayleigh
Proposal : Two Storey Pitched Roofed Side Extension.
Applicant : Mr A Cleverley

Application No : 09/00076/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 1 Hillside Road Hockley
Proposal : First Floor Pitched Roofed Rear Extension and Part
Conversion of Garage to Habitable Use
Applicant : Mr Malcolm Mackintosh

Application No : 09/00078/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 16 Folly Lane Hockley
Proposal : Front Porch and New Garage, New Drive, Three Storey
Rear Extension.
Applicant : Mr Lee Ellis



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

- 1 The proposed development with the additional height, depth and bulk of the three storey extension would create an over dominant and unbalanced feature out of scale with the two storey pitched roof domestic property. It would also have an overbearing impact upon, and be visually intrusive to the outlook of, the occupiers of the surrounding dwellings, contrary to the adopted design policies of the Local Planning Authority contained in Policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan.

Application No : 09/00080/COU Decision : **Grant Planning Permission (COU)**

Location : 36 Hockley Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Change Of Use Of Existing Temporary Sales Building to Bin Store/Cycle Store.
Applicant : Barratt Eastern Counties

Application No : 09/00081/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**

Location : 11A Warwick Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Single Storey Rear Extension. Construct Pitched Roofed Rear Extension to Form Rooms in Roof With Rooflights to Front.
Applicant : Mr Trevette

- 1 The proposed extensions, by reason of their excessive depth and height would be an intrusive and unneighbourly addition, out of scale and character with neighbouring properties, as well as having a serious and adverse effect on the amenities enjoyed by occupants of the adjoining and adjacent properties causing loss of light, outlook and overlooking and would be contrary to policy HP6 of the Rochford Replacement Local Plan.

Application No : 09/00083/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : 8 The Spinneys Hockley
Proposal : Demolish First Floor Front Conservatory and Construction of First Floor Pitched Roof Front Extension
Applicant : Mr S Adkins

Application No : 09/00086/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : Tall Trees Barling Road Barling Magna
Proposal : Construct Detached Pitched Roofed Building For Use as Dog Kennels.
Applicant : Mrs Kelly Devereax



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 09/00087/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 145 Warwick Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Garage and Conservatory. Construct
Single Storey Part Pitched Roofed, Part Flat Roofed Side
and Rear Extension.
Applicant : Mrs P J And Mr S Leslie

Application No : 09/00089/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 3 The Bailey Rayleigh
Proposal : First Floor Pitched Roofed Extension over Existing Garage
with Balcony to Front
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Simpson

Application No : 09/00090/COU Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 22 South Street Rochford
Proposal : Reduce Parapet Wall and Construct New Pitched Roof and
Alterations to Change Use of Office/Stable Outbuilding as
Single Storey Dwelling.
Applicant : Parabamuir Estates

Application No : 09/00091/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 7 Newhall Hawkwell
Proposal : Single Storey Pitched Roofed Front Extension
Applicant : Mr David Garwood

Application No : 09/00093/LBC Decision : **Grant Listed Building
Consent**
Location : 10 Churchend Foulness Island
Proposal : Replace Damaged Cast Iron Fireplace in Lounge With New
Victorian Style Cast Iron Fireplace
Applicant : Defence Estates East

Application No : 09/00094/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 10 Regency Close Rochford
Proposal : Convert Existing Attached Garage to Habitable Rooms.
Applicant : Mr Alan Clarke & Mrs Maureen Clarke



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

- 2 The development does not meet the minimum parking requirements of the Local Planning Authority in Policy HP6 and LPSPD5 for cycles and powered two-wheeled vehicles (PTW). The requirements for cycles are that each dwelling must have convenient and secure parking with weather protection for a minimum of two cycles. The standard for PTW's is one space per dwelling with a minimum bay size of 2m x 1m and a minimum space between each bike of 1m; such a bay must have some method in which to secure the PTW usually requiring some secure fixing to the ground.

Application No : 09/00106/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 37 Hawkwell Road Hockley
Proposal : Single Storey Pitched Roofed Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr David Grew

Application No : 09/00109/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 187 Lower Road Hullbridge
Proposal : Single Storey Pitched Roofed Front Extension to Form Porch and Shower Room. Extend Pitched Roof Over Garage.
Applicant : Mr Gordon Chittock

Application No : 09/00114/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : 67 London Hill Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Single Storey Side and Rear Extensions. Form Vehicular Crossover and Hardstanding.
Applicant : Mr Darren Jones

- 1 The proposed single storey garage/store extension by virtue of its design and external appearance, incorporating a dummy pitched roof and with proportions that do not respect the host property, would be out of scale and character with the prevailing pattern of development in the locality.

Application No : 09/00115/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 50 Bramfield Road East Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Pitched Roofed First Floor Extension to Rear and Conservatory to Rear
Applicant : Mr Stephen Winn



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Application No : 09/00116/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**

Location : 1 Beaches Close Hockley
Proposal : Hip to Gable Roof Extension and Form Rooms in Roof
Applicant : Mrs Pauline Young

- 1 The proposal by way of the change from the existing hips to a single roof plane extending over the existing single storey side extension with an awkward exposed flank wall feature to the front elevation and the creation of an asymmetrical gable end; would result in an unattractive, poor design form which would be out of scale and character with the original dwelling and would detract from the strong-hipped roofed character of dwellings in the street, detrimentally affecting the visual amenity of the street scene; contrary to parts (x) and (viii) of Policy HP6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006.

Application No : 09/00119/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**

Location : Kia Ora 17 Pudsey Hall Lane Canewdon
Proposal : Ground Floor Front/Side Extension and Construct New
Roof with Raised Ridge Including Pitched Roofed Dormers
and Rooms in the Roofspace
Applicant : Mr Cumberland

- 1 The Replacement Rochford Local Plan (2006) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green belt and the proposal is considered to be contrary to policy R1 of the Local Plan. Within the Green Belt, as defined in these policies, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing buildings, as defined on Policies R2 and R5 of the Local Plan). Any development, which is permitted, shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE

Policy R5 of the Local Plan provides that the total size of a Green Belt dwelling as extended, including any extension which may have previously been added, will not normally exceed the original floor space by more than 35 square metres. The proposal is considered excessive, rather than reasonable, resulting in a substantial change in the appearance and character of the property contrary to the above policies. Furthermore the development by virtue of raising the roof of the dwelling is considered to result in a material increase in the height of the dwelling house and adds further additional volume, mass and scale to an already extended property, which is considered to be inappropriate and harmful to the openness and character of the Metropolitan Green Belt contrary to Policy R1 part (ii) and Policy R5 parts (i), (ii) and (iii) of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006).

Application No : 09/00172/CPO Decision : **No Objection (County Council)**
Location : Our Lady Of Ransom Roman Catholic School Little Wheatley Chase Rayleigh
Proposal : Extension to Single Storey Parents Association Store.
Applicant : Mr J F O'Brien



INVESTORS IN PEOPLE