

PLANNING DECISIONS July 2009

Application No : 09/00151/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 303 Ashingdon Road Rochford
Proposal : Single Storey Flat Roofed Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr David Mitchell

Application No : 09/00164/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : Sheepcotes Farm Lower Road Hockley
Proposal : Refurbishment and Conversion of Existing Barn and
Extension to Barn to Provide First Floor Living
Accommodation within Barn for Agricultural Employees
Applicant : Mr N Cook

- 1 The Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006 shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt. Within the Green Belt planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing dwellings, as defined in Policies R2 and R5 of the Local Plan).

The proposal amounts to the construction of a new building to accommodate a non - agricultural carriage hire business and residential flat that is inappropriate development. Furthermore, the proposal fails to take into account the potential of other buildings to accommodate the commercial use or the existing dwelling on the site as an alternative to the residential element of the proposal. The existing dwelling on the site could provide the residential element of the proposal to fulfil the functional requirements of a residential presence to support the farm activities. If allowed the proposed building would further add to the built form on the site and the consolidation of the unauthorised commercial and residential use further reducing the openness of this part of the Green Belt.

- 2 The proposal by way of the construction of a new building for commercial purposes would increase the built form on the northern side of the envelope of farm buildings on the site detracting from the appearance of the site in the established setting of the Crouch Valley and Coastal Protection Belt contrary to policy CC1 to the Essex and Southend - On - Sea Replacement Structure plan.

Application No : 09/00169/OUT Decision : **Grant Outline Planning Permission**

Location : 32 Brook Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Buildings and Erect Four Storey Office Block With Associated Parking and New Access.

Applicant : Network Construction Ltd

Application No : 09/00192/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**

Location : 68 - 72 West Street Rochford
Proposal : Demolish Existing Buildings and Construct Part Two and Part Three Storey Building Incorporating Lower Ground Level and Basement and Basement Car Parking to Provide Twenty Three x One Bedroomed Flats, Fifteen x Two Bedroomed Flats, One x Three Bedroomed Flat and One x Bed Sitting Flat (Forty Units in Total).

Applicant : Newman Design And Build

- 1 The proposed building incorporates general design features such as inappropriate window detailing to the stair wells, sudden change in external materials unrelated to the structure of the building and building features, awkward design detail to the entrance porches and the omission of chimney details to the roofscape. If allowed in the proposed form the building would have an alien and uncharacteristic appearance that in the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, would prove visually detrimental to the character and appearance of the Rochford Conservation Area.
- 2 The proposal by way of the close proximity of the built form to the boundary of the site adjoining No. 64 – 66 West Street would present a blank end wall of the proposed building to the existing side window to the neighbouring first floor flat that would result in unacceptably oppressive and gloomy conditions within that flat detrimental to the expectation of amenity that occupiers of that neighbouring flat ought reasonably expect to enjoy.
- 3 The proposal and the details accompanying the application fail to make provision for affordable housing contrary to the advice contained at paragraph 29 to Planning Policy Statement 3 Housing (2006) and Policy HP8 to the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006). If allowed the development of the site as proposed would see the loss of an opportunity to provide affordable housing and the effective use of land in accord with national and local Planning Policy.

Application No : 09/00197/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : 68 Hullbridge Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Create Crossover Driveway from Highway over Council Owned Land

Applicant : Mr Anthony Gibson

Application No : 09/00200/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 12 Western Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Two Storey Pitched Roofed Front Extension,
Single Storey Front Bay Roof and Porch, Two Storey Part
Pitched Part Flat Roofed Rear Extension. Demolish
Existing Garage and Construct Pitched Roofed Garage to
Rear/Side.
Applicant : Mr A Tayo

Application No : 09/00215/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : The King Edmund School Vaughan Close Rochford
Proposal : Remove Existing Pool Enclosure/Cover and Construct New
Pool Enclosure Comprising Brick Walls and Wall and Roof
Cladding with Roof Lights and Solar Panels to New Roof.
Applicant :

Application No : 09/00219/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Sutton Bridge Farm Sutton Road Rochford
Proposal : Revised Application for Timber Building to House
Swimming Pool and Construct Pitched Roofed
Waiting/Changing Room.
Applicant : Hamelin Trust

Application No : 09/00223/FUL Decision : **Application Refused**
Location : Site of 80 West Street Rochford
Proposal : Convert Existing Building into Four x Three Bedroomed
Terraced Houses Including Alterations to Form Sloped
Roofed Front and Rear Dormers (Block B)
Applicant : G.H.T Properties

- 1 The proposal, by way of the extensive alterations to the existing building to form the uncharacteristically large dormer features to the roof, in this landmark location within the centre of Rochford, would be out of character with the Rochford Conservation Area by virtue of the resultant roof being too bulky.
- 2 The proposal, by way of the limited amount of car parking to serve the development, the inadequate provision of amenity spaces and extent of built form more generally, would constitute an over-development of the site detrimental to the character and appearance of the street scene and the Rochford Conservation Area more generally.

- 3 The proposal, by way of the layout for the parking and turning of vehicles within the site, would result in insufficient car parking spaces being provided to serve the development, having no visitor or overspill provision. This, together with insufficient turning space for vehicles entering the site, would necessitate vehicles, particularly larger vehicles such as service and delivery vehicles, having to exit onto a busy road in reverse gear or cause obstruction by waiting on the adjoining highway, which is part of the main distributor network through Rochford.
- 4 The proposal fails to provide sufficient access into the site for refuse vehicles, together with insufficient storage space for refuse bins. If allowed, the proposal would result in refuse vehicles having to wait on the adjoining highway to the detriment of the free flow of traffic on this main distributor route.
- 5 The limited rear garden areas proposed to two of the dwellings proposed would fail to meet the Council's minimum standard for three bedroomed terraced dwellings and which should be a minimum of 50 square metres. If allowed, the development would result in inadequate useable amenity space being provided to serve the future occupiers of the building to provide limited outdoor recreation, outdoor storage and drying to serve the future occupiers of the houses proposed and proving detrimental to the amenity those future residents ought reasonably expect to enjoy.

Application No : 09/00225/FUL

Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**

Location : 29 Brays Lane Ashingdon Rochford

Proposal : Demolish Existing Bungalow and Garage and Construct
One Detached Four Bedroomed House with Attached
Garage

Applicant : Messrs P M And J M Hylton

- 1 The Local Planning Authority considers that an ecological assessment would be required to confirm the presence of any protected species at the site, including bats and to confirm whether the proposed development would have any effect on any protected species, as the application site is overgrown and connected to open undeveloped countryside to the rear and would involve works to a roof of an older property. As no ecological assessment was submitted the Local Planning Authority cannot be satisfied that the proposed development would not have any adverse impact on protected species.
- 2 The application was not accompanied by any arboricultural assessment, the lack of which means that the Local Planning Authority cannot adequately assess the impact that the development would have on the longevity of the trees on the application site, in particular the tree on the western boundary which is subject to a tree preservation order.

Application No : 09/00227/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**

Location : Rayleigh Tennis Club Watchfield Lane Rayleigh
Proposal : Installation of Floodlighting System to Courts 3 & 4
 Comprising 9 no. 6.7 Metre Columns With 12 no.
 Luminaires

Applicant : Mr W Todman

- 1 In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, the floodlighting to the existing tennis courts would create a level of illumination to the site by reason of its location and intended hours of operation that would cause visual intrusion detrimental to the amenity currently enjoyed by local residents immediately surrounding the site. Moreover the increased activity both on and off court facilitated by the illumination together with the coming and going of cars and their shining headlights would cause a disturbance which would be detrimental to the amenity of the neighbouring residents.

Application No : 09/00229/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : 34 Ambleside Gardens Hullbridge
Proposal : Single Storey Pitched Roofed Rear Extension and Attached
 Pitched Roofed Conservatory

Applicant : Miss Julie Westgate

Application No : 09/00232/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : 12 Willow Walk Hockley
Proposal : Single Storey Flat Roofed Rear Extension

Applicant : Mr K Tribe

Application No : 09/00234/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**

Location : 204 Hockley Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Dwelling and Construct New Detached
 Four Bedroomed House With Pitched Roofed Dormers to
 Front and Rear and Attached Garage With Habitable Area
 in Roof.

Applicant : Mr And Mrs Downing

Application No : 09/00235/FUL Decision : **Application Refused**
Location : 80 West Street Rochford
Proposal : Construct Two Storey Pitched Roofed Building Comprising
Two x Two Bedroomed Self Contained Flats (Block C) At
Front of Site
Applicant : G H T Properties

- 1 The proposal, by way of the overall bland and undistinguished design and appearance of the building to the site frontage, in this landmark location within the centre of Rochford, would prove out of character with the Rochford Conservation Area.
- 2 The proposal, by way of the limited amount of car parking to serve the development, the inadequate provision of amenity spaces and extent of built form more generally, would constitute an over-development of the site detrimental to the character and appearance of the street scene and the Rochford Conservation Area more generally.
- 3 The proposal, by way of the layout for the parking and turning of vehicles within the site, would result in insufficient car parking spaces being provided to serve the development, having no visitor or overspill provision. This, together with insufficient turning space for vehicles entering the site, would necessitate vehicles, particularly larger vehicles such as service and delivery vehicles, having to exit onto a busy road in reverse gear or cause obstruction by waiting on the adjoining highway, which is part of the main distributor network through Rochford.
- 4 The proposal fails to provide sufficient access into the site for refuse vehicles, together with insufficient storage space for refuse bins. If allowed, the proposal would result in refuse vehicles having to wait on the adjoining highway to the detriment of the free flow of traffic on this main distributor route.
- 5 The proposal, by way of the fragmented space and narrow width of amenity space to the west of the building, would result in inadequate useable amenity space short of the Council's minimum standard being provided to serve the future occupiers of the building. If allowed, there would be insufficient space to provide limited outdoor recreation, outdoor storage and drying to serve the future occupiers of the flats proposed and proving detrimental to the amenity those future residents ought reasonably expect to enjoy.

Application No : 09/00236/FUL Decision : **Application Refused**
Location : 80 West Street Rochford
Proposal : Construct Two Storey Pitched Roofed Building Comprising
Two x One Bedroomed Self Contained Flats at Rear of Site
(Block A)
Applicant : G H T Properties

- 1 The proposed development, by way of the siting, overall design and appearance of the building proposed in this landmark location within the centre of Rochford, would prove out of character with the Rochford Conservation Area by virtue of its size and overall design and would constitute an unacceptable backland development within the site.
- 2 The proposal, by way of the limited amount of car parking to serve the development, the inadequate provision of amenity space and extent of built form more generally, would constitute an over-development of the site detrimental to the character and appearance of the Rochford Conservation Area.
- 3 The proposal, by way of the layout for the parking and turning of vehicles within the site, would result in insufficient car parking spaces being provided to serve the development, having no visitor or overspill provision. This, together with insufficient turning space for vehicles entering the site, would necessitate vehicles, particularly larger vehicles such as service and delivery vehicles, having to exit onto a busy road in reverse gear or cause obstruction by waiting on the adjoining highway, which is part of the main distributor network through Rochford.
- 4 The proposal fails to provide sufficient access into the site for refuse vehicles, together with insufficient storage space for refuse bins. If allowed, the proposal would result in refuse vehicles having to wait on the adjoining highway to the detriment of the free flow of traffic on this main distributor route.
- 5 The proposal fails to provide sufficient private amenity space to serve the future occupiers of the building. If allowed, there would be insufficient space to provide limited outdoor recreation, outdoor storage and drying to serve the future occupiers of the flats proposed and proving detrimental to the amenity those future residents ought reasonably expect to enjoy.

Application No : 09/00237/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
 Location : 17 Ravenswood Chase Rochford Essex
 Proposal : Remove Existing Detached Garage to Rear and Construct
 New Detached Pitched Roofed Timber Garage.
 Applicant : Mr Mark Travis

Application No : 09/00238/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
 Location : 15 Hillside Road Hockley
 Proposal : Construct Single Storey Flat Roofed Rear Extension and
 Install Patio Doors to Rear.
 Applicant : Mr H Middleton

Application No : 09/00239/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
 Location : 1 Alexandria Drive Rayleigh
 Proposal : Single Storey Pitched Roofed Rear Conservatory
 Applicant : Mr Wright

Application No : 09/00240/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 86 Hillcrest Avenue Hullbridge
Proposal : First Floor Pitched Roofed Side Extension Incorporating
Room in Roofspace and Pitched Roofed Front Dormer and
Pitched Roof to Existing Side Addition
Applicant : Mr S Fuller

Application No : 09/00241/LBC Decision : **Grant Listed Building
Consent**
Location : Scotts Hall Scotts Hall Road Canewdon
Proposal : Masonry Repairs, Revised Porch Detail, New Window to
Gable, Substitute Approved Weatherboarding for Render to
Gable
Applicant : Mr Richard Stacey

Application No : 09/00242/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 4 Main Road Hockley
Proposal : Install New Shopfront
Applicant : Mr Potter

Application No : 09/00243/ADV Decision : **Grant Advertisement
Consent**
Location : 6 - 8 Main Road Hockley
Proposal : Install 1 No. Non Illuminated Wrap Around Fascia Sign, 1
No. Internally Illuminated Fascia Sign, Additional Fascia
Sign Under Existing Canopy and Directional Car Park Sign
Between Units 4 & 6-8 Main Road.
Applicant : Mr Potter

Application No : 09/00244/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 111 Gravel Road Eastwood Leigh-on-Sea
Proposal : Remove Existing Brick and Wood Boundaries and Erect
Part 1.2m High and Part 1.8m High Close Boarded Fencing
With Concrete Gravel Boards.
Applicant : Miss Carrie Watkins

Application No : 09/00246/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 67 Hilary Crescent Rayleigh
Proposal : Single Storey Part Flat Roofed Part Sloped Roofed Front
Extension, Convert Garage to Habitable Accommodation
and Provide Bay Window.
Applicant : Mr Lee Grainger

Application No : 09/00247/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 8 High Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Single Storey Flat Roofed Side/Rear Extension and First
Floor Pitched Roofed Side Extension.
Applicant : Mr Russell Southwick

Application No : 09/00248/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 17 Goose Cottages Chelmsford Road Rawreth
Proposal : First Floor Pitched Roofed Side Extension, Pitched Roof to
Garage and Provide Rooflights to Rear.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs G Watson

Application No : 09/00249/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 26 Tudor Way Hawkwell
Proposal : Replace Flat Roofs Over Front and Rear Bays With Pitched
Roofs and Replace Angled Wall Below Front Bay With
Vertical Wall.
Applicant : Mr Trevor Bysouth

Application No : 09/00254/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : 11 Wheatfields Stambridge Rochford
Proposal : Convert Garage to Utility Room and Increase Driveway and
Hardstanding.
Applicant : Mr David Orlans

- 1 The proposed development would not meet the minimum level of on-site parking provision, required in a rural location as detailed in Supplementary Planning Document 5. The inadequate on-site parking at the property would lead to on-street car parking to the detriment of pedestrian and highway safety on the estate and/or parking which would obstruct the shared vehicular access area between No. 11 and No. 12 Wheatfields which would impede vehicular access to and from the neighbouring properties, Numbers 12 and 14 Wheatfields.

Application No : 09/00256/DPDP6 Decision : **Deemed Lapsed**
Location : Lubbards Lodge Farm Hullbridge Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Erect Open Fronted Hay Barn
Applicant : Mr Andrew Pinkerton

Application No : 09/00257/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 26 Great Wheatley Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Two Storey Pitched Roofed Front and Rear Extensions,
Form Sunken Garden and Construct Two Storey Flat
Roofed Rear Extension to Form Living, Kitchen and Dining
Area With Games Area and Home Cinema. (Amended
Application to 08/00551/FUL With Revised Window Details)
Applicant : Mr David And Mrs Tracey Houghton

Application No : 09/00258/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning
Permission**
Location : Old School House Church Road Hockley
Proposal : First Floor Pitched Roofed Extension
Applicant : Mr Kevin Roberts

- 1 The Replacement Rochford Local Plan (2006) shows the site to be within the Metropolitan Green Belt. Within the Green Belt, planning permission will not be given, except in very special circumstances, for the construction of new buildings or for the change of use or extension of existing buildings (other than reasonable extensions to existing buildings, as defined in Policies R2 and R5 of the Local Plan saved by direction of the Secretary of State dated 5 June 2009). Any development, which is permitted, shall be of a scale, design and siting, such that the appearance of the countryside is not impaired.

Policy R5 of the Local Plan provides that the total size of a Green Belt dwelling as extended, including any extension which may have previously been added, will not normally exceed the original floor space by more than 35 square metres. The proposal is considered excessive, rather than reasonable, resulting in a substantial change in the appearance and character of the property contrary to the part (i) of Policy R5. Furthermore the increase in the height of part of the roof adds further additional volume, mass and scale to an already extended property, which is considered to be inappropriate and harmful to the openness and character of the Metropolitan Green Belt contrary to Policy R5 parts (ii) and (iii) of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006).

Application No : 09/00259/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 38 Brooklyn Drive Rayleigh
Proposal : Single Storey Part Pitched Roofed and Part Flat Roofed
Side and Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Malone

Application No : 09/00260/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 7A High Road Hockley
Proposal : Construct Two Storey Pitched Roofed Rear Extension
(Amended Application With Revised Windows and Door
Details)
Applicant : Mr M Ekiyoyo

Application No : 09/00261/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 10 Belchamps Way Hawkwell
Proposal : Hip to Gable Roof Extension to Front. Construct Double
Pitched Roofed Dormer to Side.
Applicant : Mr I Appleton

Application No : 09/00262/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 7 Ruffles Close Rayleigh
Proposal : Replace Flat Roof to Front Dormer With Pitched Roof.
Applicant : Mr Ian Nichols

Application No : 09/00263/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 55 Hamilton Gardens Hockley
Proposal : Construct Two Bedroomed Bungalow Attached to Side of
Existing Bungalow and Extend Sub Station Crossover and
Provide Hardstanding in Rear Garden.
Applicant : Mr Warren Crane

Application No : 09/00264/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 104 Oxford Road Rochford
Proposal : Demolish Conservatory and Construct Single Storey Flat
Roofed Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr Hale

Application No : 09/00265/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Wyburns Primary School Nevern Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Install Double Canopy in Playground.
Applicant : Mr Mervyn Pocock

Application No : 09/00266/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 27 Southview Road Hockley
Proposal : Construct Single Storey Flat Roofed Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr Mills

Application No : 09/00267/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 23 Hockley Rise Hawkwell
Proposal : Erect Shed in Front Garden (Retrospective Application)
Applicant : Mr David Burch

Application No : 09/00269/FUL Decision : **Application Refused**
Location : Land Adjoining Oaklands Bullwood Approach Hockey
Proposal : Demolish Existing Wooden Building and Erect New Log Cabin. Form New Vehicular Access and Parking for One Vehicle.
Applicant : Paula Rowntree

- 1 The application was not accompanied by any arboricultural assessment, the lack of which means that the Local Planning Authority cannot adequately assess the impact that the building and parking area would have on the longevity of the trees on the application site, which are subject to a area Tree Preservation Order TPO/0006/87. Such an assessment should be in accordance with BS5837.

Application No : 09/00271/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : The Bungalow Merton Road Hockley
Proposal : Demolish Existing Dwelling and Construct New Detached Five Bedroomed Chalet
Applicant : Mr J Linazasoro

- 1 The application site outlined in red on the location plan date stamped the 18 May 2009, Drawing Number DMG/09/002 is considered to include land which does not form part of the authorised domestic garden curtilage of 'The Bungalow'. The proposal is therefore considered to include an extension of the authorised domestic garden curtilage to the property which is inappropriate development and would give rise to loss of openness and harm to the character and appearance of the Green Belt, contrary to Policies R1 and R7 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan 2006.

Application No : 09/00270/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 1 Nevern Close Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Two Storey Pitched Roofed Side Extension With Pitched Roofed Dormer to Front Elevation. Property Entrance to be Relocated to Front.
Applicant : Mr Peter Clark

Application No : 09/00273/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Birches Hall Road Rochford
Proposal : Alterations and Extensions to Provide Single Storey Side Extension to Form Pool, Side Extension to Form Sun Lounge, Porch to Front and Side and Rear Canopy. Two Storey Rear Extensions, Second Floor Rooms in Loft Space and New Front Wall and Entrance Gates. Revised Sun Lounge Roof and Retain Loft Room Living Space to Garage and Convert Internal Garage Parking Area to Habitable Accommodation.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Thomas

Application No : 09/00274/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 34 Nutcombe Crescent Rochford
Proposal : Two Pitched Roofed Front Dormers and Pitched Roofed Rear Dormer
Applicant : Mr Scott Robson

Application No : 09/00276/FUL Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission**
Location : 127 London Road Rayleigh
Proposal : First Floor Pitched Roofed Side Extension and Rooms in the Roofspace Incorporating Gable Roof End and Flat Roofed Rear Dormer and Front Roof Light.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Newton

- 1 The proposal by way of the form, mass and design of the first floor side extension in particular its roof form and disproportionate rear dormer would result in a dominant and overbearing addition that is out of scale and character with the dwelling to which it would relate and the terrace of dwellings. If permitted it would be detrimental to the street scene and to the character and appearance of the locality in conflict with parts (viii), (ix) and (x) of Policy HP 6 of the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006).

Application No : 09/00278/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 66 Alexandra Road Ashingdon
Proposal : Convert Integral Garage into Annexe
Applicant : Mr Mark Hawker

Application No : 09/00277/COU Decision : **Grant Planning Permission (COU)**
Location : 42B Spa Road Hockley
Proposal : Change Of Use From A1 (Shop) To A2 (Financial & Professional Services)
Applicant : Mrs Yuping Wells

Application No : 09/00281/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 4 Cromwell Road Hockley
Proposal : Construct Pitched Roofed Front and Rear Dormers to Form
Rooms in Roofspace With New First Floor Window to Side
Elevation.
Applicant : Mr & Mrs Ward

Application No : 09/00283/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Land Rear 264 - 268 Main Road Hawkwell
Proposal : Insert 1 No. Rooflight to Side and 1 No. Rooflight to Rear.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Mann

Application No : 09/00284/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 69 Clarence Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Single Storey Flat Roofed Rear Extension
Applicant : Mr Mark White

Application No : 09/00285/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 6 Greensward Lane Hockley
Proposal : Demolish Existing Bungalow and Construct One Detached
Three/Four Bedroomed Chalet and Detached Garage
Applicant : Mr K Fennell

Application No : 09/00286/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Land Between 63 - 73 Nevern Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct Three Bedroomed Detached House With
Vehicular Access and Off Street Parking.
Applicant : Mr Howard Pannell

Application No : 09/00289/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : Weston Woodside Road Hockley
Proposal : Construct Log Cabin in Rear Garden.
Applicant : Mr Stephen Ruddell

Application No : 09/00291/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 13 Bedford Close Rayleigh
Proposal : Convert Existing Integral Garage to Habitable Floor Space.
Construct First Floor Pitched Roofed Front Extension.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Cherrill

Application No : 09/00294/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 121 Little Wakering Road Great Wakering
Proposal : Construct Single Storey Flat Roofed Rear Extension.
Applicant : Mr Mark Yeomans

Application No : 09/00298/FUL Decision : **Application Withdrawn**
Location : Site Of 125A To 125D High Road Rayleigh
Proposal : Demolish Existing Building and Construct Three Storey Building to Provide 4 No. Two Bedroomed and 2 No. One Bedroomed Flats With Parking Area and External Storage Building/Cycle Store.
Applicant : Rochford Housing Association

Application No : 09/00301/COU Decision : **Grant Planning Permission (COU)**
Location : 5 Roche Close Rochford
Proposal : Change Use of Vacant Shop from Retail (Use Class A1) to Use as Solicitors Office (Use Class A2)
Applicant : Mr Philip Giles

Application No : 09/00307/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : London Southend Airport Co Ltd Southend Airport Rochford
Proposal : Retrospective Application to Form Temporary New Access off Southend Road
Applicant : London Southend Airport

Application No : 09/00309/CON Decision : **Grant Conservation Area Consent**
Location : 1 The Old Worlds End Church End Paglesham
Proposal : Demolish Existing Outbuilding
Applicant : Mr And Mrs R C Birt

Application No : 09/00312/COU Decision : **Refuse Planning Permission (COU)**
Location : 111 Ashingdon Road Rochford
Proposal : Change Of Use From Car Showroom to a Hand Car Wash
Applicant : Mr Xhafer Thaqi

- 1 The application is not supported by sufficient details of the water drainage for the site. In the absence of this information, it is considered that the proposal may result in contaminated water entering and polluting the surface water drainage systems and/or water could be directed on to the highway, detrimental upon surface water supplies and/or highway safety.

Application No : 09/00313/COU Decision : **Grant Planning
Permission (COU)**
Location : 19 Bellingham Lane Rayleigh
Proposal : Change of Use of No.s 19 and 21 Bellingham Lane from
Offices to Residential Dwellings
Applicant : Mr A Malik

Application No : 09/00317/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 111 Conway Avenue Great Wakering
Proposal : Single Storey Sloped Roofed Front Extension
Applicant : Mr Derrick Corrie

Application No : 09/00315/FUL Decision : **Application Permitted**
Location : 257 Ferry Road Hullbridge
Proposal : Provide New Shopfront and External Alterations at Rear to
Provide New Door and Wall
Applicant : Mr Andrew Bennett

Application No : 09/00316/ADV Decision : **Grant Advertisement
Consent**
Location : 257 Ferry Road Hullbridge
Proposal : Two Externally Illuminated Fascia Signs and Non-
Illuminated Window Graphic
Applicant : Mr Andrew Bennett

Application No : 09/00326/FUL Decision : **Application Refused**
Location : Land Rear of 85-93 The Drive Hullbridge
Proposal : Demolish Existing Outbuildings and Subdivide Rear Garden
Areas to Provide 2 No. Detached Three Bedroomed
Bungalows, Form New Access Serving New Dwellings off
The Drive and New Vehicular Crossing for No. 91 The
Drive
Applicant : Mr K Schofield

- 1 The proposal would result in an unacceptable form of backland development that would, by way of introducing the development proposed into the relatively spacious and undeveloped setting of the existing back garden areas between dwellings fronting The Drive and dwellings fronting Grasmere Avenue, result in an unwelcome and incongruous development, failing to integrate well into the local context in conflict with parts (ii) and (iii) to Policy HP14 to the Rochford District Replacement Local Plan (2006), as saved by Direction of the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government.

Application No : 09/00337/FUL Decision : **Application Withdrawn**
Location : 134 Bull Lane Rayleigh
Proposal : Construct First Floor Pitched Roofed Side Extension.
Applicant : Mr And Mrs Cardy